



### CONSERVATION CASEWORK LOG NOTES AUGUST 2019

The GT conservation team received 187 new cases in England and three cases in Wales during August, in addition to ongoing work on previously logged cases. Written responses were submitted by the GT and/or CGTs for the following cases. In addition to the responses below, 50 'No Comment' responses were lodged by the GT and/or CGTs.

SITE	COUNTY	GT REF	GRADE	PROPOSAL	WRITTEN RESPONSE
Little Sodbury Manor, Chipping Sodbury	Avon	E19/0604	N	PLANNING APPLICATION Enclosure of courtyard with glazed roof; installation of balcony; refurbishment of pool house to provide shower, WC and bar; installation of 1 no. buried oil tank; and conversion of garage into plant room. Little Sodbury Manor, Portway Lane, Chipping Sodbury, Bristol, South Gloucestershire. BUILDING ALTERATION	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 17.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting the Avon Gardens Trust on this application. We have considered the information that you have provided and on the basis of this do not wish to comment on the proposals, except to draw attention to the potential impact to the root systems of existing trees, should new underground services be installed within the grounds of the property. It is not clear to us whether services shown on the submitted Incoming Services plans, which are in the vicinity of existing trees, already exist or are proposed. We would however emphasise that this does not in any way signify either our approval or disapproval of the proposals. However, if you have any further queries, please contact us at this email address. Yours faithfully, Ros Delany (Dr) Chairman, Avon Gardens Trust
Dyrham Park	Avon	E19/0717	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Part change of use of existing barn to 'kitchen 'box' cafe (Class A3) as defined in the Town and Country	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 30.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust [GT], in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting Dyrham Park, a grade II* Registered Park and Garden which is on the Historic England's

				<p>Planning (use classes) Order 1987 (as amended) and installation of external seating area . Creation of improved accessible routes around the park. Dyrham Park, Upper Street, Dyrham, South Gloucestershire SN14 8HY.</p> <p>CATERING</p>	<p>register of Historic Parks and Gardens in South Gloucestershire. The Avon Gardens Trust is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations.</p> <p>Summary: The proposed 'kitchen 'box' cafe and the accessible routes are sensitively designed and should enhance the visitor experience of the park. We note that there are existing surface water drainage issues which the new rill is intended to address and that the relocation of the estate fencing would open up the front of the House and make it another destination along the proposed routes. Therefore The Avon Gardens Trust supports this proposal.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, Ros Delany (Dr) Chairman, Avon Gardens Trust</p>
Swallowfield Park	Berkshire	E16/1605	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Full application for the proposed extraction and processing of approximately 3.6 million tonnes of sand and gravel from a site of 190 ha, known as land south west of bridge farm, together with erection of an aggregates processing plant, ready mix concrete plant and the provision of associated ancillary infrastructure and parking for HGV's and staff, with mixed restoration including importation of inert material to agriculture, lowland meadows and wetlands. Proposed temporary diversion of public footpath 20 for the duration of operations. Bridge Farm, Reading Road, Arborfield, Reading RG2 9HT. MINERAL EXTRACTION</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 16.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting sites listed by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks and Gardens. Swallowfield Park is a Grade II Registered Park and Garden which abuts the southern boundary of the proposed extraction area. The Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of historic sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations within Berkshire.</p> <p>One of the key activities of the Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is to help conserve, protect and enhance designed landscapes within Berkshire. As Swallowfield Park is on Historic England's Register of Historic Parks and Gardens, it is an important part of the history of Wokingham Borough's parks and the richness of its history. We are therefore grateful for the opportunity to comment on the application.</p> <p>We submitted comments in May 2017 requesting further information from the applicants on the impact of the development on the Park. We are pleased that the Council are now in receipt of this assessment.</p> <p>The site area abuts the northern boundary of the Park which is defined in part by the River Loddon. In the western stretch of this boundary the river is flanked by intermitted trees and scrub and open areas of pasture on the site and in the Park. In the eastern stretch of the river the Park has a</p>

					<p>wooded edge with pasture lying within the site. There is a framed view through this vegetation from the Park into the pastureland on the site (as illustrated in photograph 20 of the LVIA supplement 2018).</p> <p>This most northerly section of the Park formed part of the Pleasure Grounds and a path runs through the woodland belt, past this viewpoint, into Great Wood. A review of the 1870s OS plan (old-maps.co.uk) shows in this location that historically there was an open stretch with views to the river and beyond into the site. The significance of the Pleasure Grounds is recognised in the Heritage Assessment and the view into Phase Hyde Farm 3 identified as Photograph 2.</p> <p>East of the river, the boundary follows the northern and eastern edge of Great Wood. Here the setting of the Park is under arable use. Although the wood provides visual screening to the remainder of the Park, it remains an important part of the Parkland assets.</p> <p>The area most vulnerable to the proposed development is therefore the Pleasure Grounds and extant views into Phase Hyde Farm 3. During extraction of Hyde Park 3 margins to the river and its tributary to the north are proposed with existing riverside vegetation retained. No further planting is proposed as part of the landscape mitigation (dwg L/1334/LVIA4). No soil storage mounds or bunding are proposed for this area. Extraction in this area is therefore limited in scale although it will be very evident from the Pleasure Grounds.</p> <p>On completion the area is to be restored to wetland, with open water, reed beds and wet woodland associated with, but not connected to, the river and its tributary. This will be a material change to the setting of the Pleasure Grounds with the loss of historic views of the open pastoral context of the Pleasure Gardens.</p> <p>The lower significance of the remaining parts of the Park bordering the site reduces the potential for harm. The more extensive extraction, bunding and soil storage in Phases Hyde Farm 1 and 2 will have a temporary effect and the change from pasture to open water bodies would not materially affect the pastoral context of key historical features of the Park. The extraction, infilling and soil storage will also adversely temporarily affect the setting of Great Wood but this will be restored back to arable farmland with a neutral effect on the historic value of the wood.</p> <p>In conclusion our concerns are therefore limited to the long term effects on the Pleasure Grounds as a result of the restoration proposals for Hyde Farm Phase 3. The path and views to the river and into the site have</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>survived up to date with the setting retaining its rural riparian pastoral character. Although access is not permitted at present, this may not always remain the case and the access could be restored in the future. Hyde Farm Phase 3 is a small area, surrounded by waterways, which could more easily be restored to existing floodplain levels under pasture, thus removing an uncharacteristic feature within the proposed restoration scheme. We also do not see that there is any merit in woodland screening along the river Loddon as proposed in the Restoration scheme. We request that the restoration scheme is revisited for Hyde Farm Phase 3 and that further historic mapping of the Pleasure Grounds is included in the Heritage Statement.</p> <p>We finally ask that the Council ensures that the extraction and restoration works and stand-off margins to Great Wood and the River Loddon are sufficient to ensure that there is no harm to the long term historic, hydrological ecological and landscape viability of the river and the wood.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,  Bettina Kirkham DipTP BLD CMLI  BGT Planning Advisor.  cc: The Gardens Trust</p>
Shaw House	Berkshire	E19/0305	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION  Regulation 3: Erection of new 2 storey classroom extension to existing Block A, comprising 6 no classrooms and associated ancillary spaces connected to existing school building, with surrounding landscaping works. Proposed single storey extension to existing Block B, comprised of 1no classroom connected to existing school building with associated surrounding landscaping works, relocating of 74 no existing cycle stands to south of current location with associated landscaping works. Trinity School, Love Lane, Shaw, Newbury. EDUCATION</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 17.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for reconsulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting sites listed by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks and Gardens. Shaw House is a Grade I listed building set within a Grade II Registered Park and Garden and Shaw Conservation Area The Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of historic sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations within Berkshire.</p> <p>One of the key activities of the Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is to help conserve, protect and enhance designed landscapes within Berkshire. As Shaw House is on Historic England's Register of Historic Parks and Gardens, it is an important part of the history of Newbury's parks and the richness of Newbury's history. We are therefore grateful for the opportunity to comment on the application.</p> <p>BGT welcomes recognition of the historic context in the Design and Access Statement but notes that, apart from a reference in passing, there is no detailed assessment of the historic significance of the Park, and the role</p>

					<p>played by the site and its features in and around it. No Heritage Statement accompanies the application nor a landscape assessment of the landscape and visual value of the Park and its features. The Tree Survey is useful in identifying the value of the trees but does not include an assessment of the value of their contribution to the historic park or setting of the Grade I Shaw House.</p> <p>The application is accompanied by a Landscape Concept Plan embedded in the Design and Access Statement but this also does not include any assessment of the historic landscape aspects nor does the Design and Access Statement analyse how the proposals would conserve and enhance the historic value of the site and its setting.</p> <p>It is essential that the application is accompanied by a Heritage Statement which covers fully a description of the Park, the impact of the development proposals on it and on the setting of Shaw House. The Heritage Statement would inform us as to what extent the development is in compliance with NPPF paras 189 to 199 and West Berkshire Council's Core Strategy policy CS19. Without it, it is not possible to ensure that the development will not harm the historic significance of Shaw Park.</p> <p>The above sets out our position in our letter dated 1 July 2019 and we are disappointed that since our comments were submitted that the Heritage Statement has not been forthcoming and therefore restrict our comments about the proposals based on the documents submitted thus far.</p> <p>It is difficult to justify an objection in principle to the extension proposals as the Trinity School already has 20th and 21st century buildings on its campus. The extension proposed is to the front of the building which houses the main entrance and at two storeys, is no higher than the main building. However, views of this northern sector of the GII listed Parkland will inevitably be impacted negatively, further exacerbated by the loss of trees due to the re-structuring of the recently found soakaway.</p> <p>It is not expected that the development proposals will impact on the setting of Shaw House directly.</p> <p>We look forward to hearing from you regarding the submission of a Heritage Statement.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,  Helen Parvin BSc, AIfA  CGT Planning Advisor  cc: The Gardens Trust</p>
Aldermaston	Berkshire	E19/0486	II	PLANNING APPLICATION and	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 17.08.2019</b>

Court				<p>Listed Building Consent  Conversion of Manor House and Portland House to residential use, the construction of extensions to Level 4 of Portland House and the construction of two pavilions for residential use adjacent to Portland House to form a total of 229 residential apartments.  Demolition of Oxford House and the cricket pavilion and improvements to the Registered Park and Garden. Associated works for car parking, landscaping and drainage. The Manor House Hotel and Conference Centre, Aldermaston Park, Aldermaston, Reading.  RESIDENTIAL, BUILDING ALTERATION</p>	<p>Initial comments from Berkshire Gardens Trust  Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting sites listed by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks and Gardens. Aldermaston Park is a Grade II Registered Park and Garden containing a number of listed buildings and structures. The Registered Park therefore forms the setting to these heritage assets as well as being of historic importance in its own right. The Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of historic sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations within Berkshire.  One of the key activities of the Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is to help conserve, protect and enhance designed landscapes within Berkshire. As Aldermaston Park is on Historic England's Register of Historic Parks and Gardens, it is an important part of the history of West Berkshire's parks and the richness of its history. We are therefore grateful for the opportunity to comment on the application.  BGT welcomes the applicant's decision to abandon the previous proposals under application no. 16/02286/FULEXT which in our view would have resulted in unacceptable harm to the Registered Park. We note that the main changes and our keys concerns in this regard are:  1. The additional built form at the fourth level of the existing Portland House and its effect on the original architectural form and visual relationship of Portland House with the surrounding parkland and Manor House;  2. The new Pavilion A and its effect on views within and to the Park; and its effect on the relationship between modern built form and the historic parkland;  3. The new Pavilion B as above; and  4. The proposed additional parking spaces and their effect on the integrity of the Parkland and its role as a setting to the built assets.  In addition the proposal includes further works including:  5. Conversion of the Manor House to residential use;  6. Demolition of Oxford House, the WWII building and Cricket pavilion; and  7. Restoration works to the Parkland as described in the HDA plans and Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment.  We note that the application does not include photomontages from the key views identified. These are essential to enable an assessment of the</p>
-------	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>impact of the development not only on the Park itself but also on views of the Park from Church Road and the footpath network to the north. We also note that the applicant refers to the approved development scheme at Portland House but provides no evidence, other than a small plan in the Heritage Statement Figure 5.2, to show how the current proposals would compare to the footprint, mass and scale and design of the original scheme for Portland House. This information should be provided for comparison, and also include an illustration of those trees which would need to be felled if the original scheme were to be implemented.</p> <p>We are concerned that the proposed development does not result in any greater harm to the Registered Park and Garden or the parkland setting to the historic built assets than would have arisen if the approved scheme had been built. We would also like to see the proposals for the site in their entirety, including the proposals for the Pleasure Gardens and lodges and their curtilages, as the latter are regarded as a benefit to mitigate any harm from the main development proposals.</p> <p>As this is a full application, we would like to see the details of the proposed parkland restoration and management scheme in addition to the landscape strategy to ensure that the enabling development does provide for the appropriate conservation and enhancement of the parkland.</p> <p>We request that the above information is provided by the applicant and that BGT are further consulted when it is received by West Berkshire Council.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,  Bettina Kirkham DipTP BLD CMLI  BGT Planning Advisor.  cc: The Gardens Trust</p>
Ritchings Park	Berkshire	E19/0538	N	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION  Proposed development of a replacement Energy from Waste (EfW) facility including a High Temperature Incinerator (HTI), provision of a new access road and new junction with the A4, visitor centre, car parking, temporary construction compound, associated works, ancillary buildings and structures.</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 17.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting sites of historic parkland interest. The Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of historic sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations within Berkshire. One of the key activities of the Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is to help conserve, protect and enhance designed landscapes within Berkshire. We are therefore grateful for the opportunity to comment on the application. Richings Park largely lies within Buckinghamshire although a small part lies</p>

				<p>LAND WEST OF THE IVER SOUTH SLUDGE DEWATERING CENTRE, SOUTH OF M4, SLOUGH. HYBRID</p>	<p>south of the M4 close to the application site and the proposed new facility. We have therefore been in touch with the Buckinghamshire Gardens Trust who have researched the Park and know it well.</p> <p>The D &amp; A statement suggests that the proposal would not physically damage the fabric of Richings Park within the boundary of Park, much of which survives and retains some noteworthy features. In addition the proposed area is south of the M4, whereas the majority of the Park and the most important parts of the historic landscape are to the north. There is also a lot of major work in the area around the site, including upgrading the motorway, and possible major changes arising from the Heathrow expansion, which compromise the setting of the park south of the M4. In the light of the above we have no comment to make on this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Bettina Kirkham Bettina Kirkham DipTP BLD CMLI BGT Planning Advisor. cc: The Gardens Trust</p>
Basildon Park	Berkshire	E19/0661	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION To take down 2no. sections, each approx. 4m in length, of structurally unstable hooped stone balustrade to the top of the retaining wall along the Eastern edge of the formal garden to the Basildon Park Mansion House, and reconstruct the same with the insertion of 10no. new stone hoops within the existing stone framework to the centre of each 4m section, and the reinstatement of the stone rail using the salvaged stone.</p> <p>Basildon Park, Lower Basildon, Reading, Berkshire.</p> <p>MISCELLANEOUS</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 19.08.2019</b></p> <p>Comments from Berkshire Gardens Trust 19/01975/LBC2   To take down 2no. sections, each approx. 4m in length, of structurally unstable hooped stone balustrade to the top of the retaining wall along the Eastern edge of the formal garden to the Basildon Park Mansion House, and reconstruct the same with the insertion of 10no. new stone hoops within the existing stone framework to the centre of each 4m section, and the reinstatement of the stone rail using the salvaged stone.   Basildon Park Lower Basildon Reading Berkshire RG8 9NR</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting sites of historic parkland interest. The Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of historic sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations within Berkshire. One of the key activities of the Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is to help conserve, protect and enhance designed landscapes within Berkshire. We are therefore grateful for the opportunity to comment on the application. We are pleased to see that the work is being done to enhance this feature of Basildon Park which forms a key element to the setting of the house in relation to the views to and from the terrace. The proposal obviously</p>

					<p>complements previous restoration work to the retaining wall. It is particularly good to see the comprehensive Design &amp; Access Statement and photos as well as the use of the salvaged stone for the rail. We therefore support the application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Fiona Hope Berkshire Gardens Trust Secretary cc: The Gardens Trust</p>
Plympton House	Devon	E15/1138	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION CHANGE OF USE OF FORMER CONVENT, DAIRY &amp; CHAPEL TO SINGLE RESIDENTIAL. CREATION OF 4NO. NEW DWELLINGS AND CREATION OF ACCESS OFF GEORGE LANE. St Peters Convent, George Lane, Plymouth PL7 2LL. RESIDENTIAL OUTCOME 31.05.2016 Application granted</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 18.08.2019</b></p> <p>I thought it might be useful if I sent you the letters that I had written about the development proposals at Plympton House as these letters clearly set out the position of the Gardens Trust. In our letter about the applications 15/02230/FUL 15/02229/FUL &amp; 15/02232/LBC I stated that We do have strong reservations about the proposed two new houses (units 9 &amp;10) to the North of the 1980s extension and the proposed two detached dwellings (units 5 &amp; 6) with access off George Lane. Not only are we concerned about the design of these dwellings, but also with the precedent which would be set for further development which would affect the integrity of the designed landscape of Plympton House and its setting.</p> <p>In our letter about the application 17/01727/FUL for six dwellings and community parkland I wrote Planning permission has been granted at Plympton House for residential development to restore the House, the removal of the later detrimental buildings, the conversion of the existing buildings, and 6 new houses. This was the subject of negotiations to ensure that the new houses were sited in discreet locations, sympathetic to the character of the House and gardens. It was regarded as an 'enabling development', to safeguard the future of Plympton House and its grounds. The granting of planning permission in these circumstances does not constitute a precedent for further development within the Grade II registered park and garden.</p> <p>I mentioned that The Heritage Statement for Plympton House attached to the application for the 6 dwellings is rather misleading. It fails to describe the considerable historic significance of the application site and does not give a full description of the 1784 survey. I am therefore attaching The Heritage Statement dated October 2015 which was part of the previous applications for your information.</p> <p>Kind regards John Clark</p>

Plympton House	Devon	E15/1139	II	PLANNING APPLICATION and Listed building Consent CONVERSION AND PART DEMOLITION OF EAST WING TO 4NO DWELLINGS AND CONSTRUCTION OF 2NO DWELLINGS. ST PETERS CONVENT, GEORGE LANE, PLYMOUTH PL7 2LL. BUILDING ALTERATION, RESIDENTIAL OUTCOME 31.05.2016 Application granted	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 18.08.2019</b> Response as per E15/1138 above
Plympton House	Devon	E16/1720	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Variation of Condition 2 of 15/02229/FUL to enable changes to internal site access road. St Peters Convent, George Lane, Plymouth PL7 2LL. ROAD	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 18.08.2019</b> Response as per E15/1138 above
Plympton House	Devon	E17/0786	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of 6 dwellings, provision of community parkland, and associated works. Land Bounded By George Lane And Ridgeway, Plympton, Plymouth. RESIDENTIAL	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 18.08.2019</b> Response as per E15/1138 above
Mamhead Park	Devon	E19/0625	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of equestrian tied dwelling. Mamhead Stables, Mamhead. RESIDENTIAL, EQUESTRIAN	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 16.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting the Devon Gardens Trust on the above which is near to Mamhead Park, included by Historic England on the Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest at Grade II*. The Gardens Trust, formerly The Garden History Society, is the Statutory Consultee on development affecting all sites on the Historic England Register of Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest. The Devon Gardens Trust is a member of The Gardens Trust and acts on its behalf in responding to consultations in the County of Devon. We have studied the application documents on your website and the Historic England map and entry. We have visited Mamhead Park on several occasions previously and visited the application site on 15 August 2019.

					<p>The following extract from the Historic England description is relevant: 'Lying to the north-east, east and south-east of the House, the park consists of undulating pasture with scattered groups of trees, and is enclosed to the north, north-east, east and south by boundary plantations.'</p> <p>The application site is screened by the boundary plantation to the east of Mamhead Park and cannot be seen from within the parkland. Mamhead House can be glimpsed from the public footpath near the application site but the parkland is screened by the mixed plantations.</p> <p>We consider that the proposed development would not affect the setting of Mamhead Park and would not cause any harm to the significance of the heritage asset of Mamhead Park. We therefore do not object to the proposal.</p> <p>Yours faithfully John Clark Conservation Officer Devon Gardens Trust</p> <p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 29.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting the Devon Gardens Trust on the revised proposals for the above application.</p> <p>The application site is screened by the boundary plantation to the east of Mamhead Park and cannot be seen from within the parkland. Mamhead House can be glimpsed from the public footpath near the application site but the parkland is screened by the mixed plantations.</p> <p>We consider that the proposed development would not affect the setting of Mamhead Park and would not cause any harm to the significance of the heritage asset of Mamhead Park. We therefore do not object to the revised proposals.</p> <p>Yours faithfully John Clark Conservation Officer Devon Gardens Trust</p>
Preston Manor and Preston Park	East Sussex	E19/0406	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of single storey side extensions to either side of pavilion under existing roof. Pavilion, Preston Park, Preston Road, Brighton BN1	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 01.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting the Sussex Gardens Trust (SGT). The Trust has also been notified by the Gardens Trust, the statutory consultee on matters affecting registered historic parks and gardens.</p> <p>The park is well known to the Sussex Gardens Trust and the site has</p>

				6HN. BUILDING ALTERATION	<p>recently been inspected. The Trust is concerned that the works have already commenced and are in fact on going, but not it would seem as per the submitted drawings. This concerns the Trust because attention to detail and imposition of conditions are considered important, if the essential character of the pavilion is to be preserved.</p> <p>Formerly a pavilion serving adjacent bowling greens, its function is no longer directly park related, following the release of the greens for alternative garden uses, and in this context the alterations to this pavilion are considered acceptable in principle.</p> <p>Prominent in the southern part of this grade II registered historic park / garden is the impressive Rotunda Tea room. Close to this is the former bowling pavilion which is very low key in comparison and moreover is no longer the central focus to its immediate surroundings. Nevertheless, it is of some interest as a mid-20th century park pavilion including a covered verandah on three sides. It contributes positively to the park; a contribution that will be preserved, so long as the infilling of the two side secondary elevations is completed with careful attention to detail, consistent with the pavilion's original architectural detailing. The pavilion's integrity deserves the retention of the covered verandah the full length of the front (west) elevation and matching fenestration to the new side elevations. If extended in this manner then the Sussex Gardens Trust would raise no objection.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Jim Stockwell On behalf of the Sussex Gardens Trust. CC: The Gardens Trust</p>
Glen Andred Garden	East Sussex	E19/0521	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION SWIMMING POOL AND POOL BUILDING. CONYER LODGE, CORSELEY ROAD GROOMBRIDGE, TN3 9PN. SPORT/LEISURE	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 12.08.2019</b></p> <p>The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee on matters concerning registered parks and gardens, and is now working closely with County Garden Trusts such as Sussex Gardens Trust (SGT) regarding commenting on planning policy and planning applications. The GT has brought this application to the SGT's attention.</p> <p>Representatives of SGT have reviewed the documentation submitted with this application. The planned structure is located within the boundary of Glen Andred – a site included as a Grade II* Park or Garden on the register of Historic Parks and Gardens maintained by Historic England. The submitted plans do not show the design of the pool roof or the materials to be used. However, provided the planning authority is satisfied the roof</p>

					design and materials will not cause harm to the significance of the Registered Park, the Trust would have no objection to the principle of the proposals. Yours faithfully Jim Stockwell On behalf of the Sussex Gardens Trust. CC: The Gardens Trust
Alexandra Park	East Sussex	E19/0580	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Steel notice board on legs advertising greenhouse. Greenhouse, Alexandra Park, St Helens Road, Hastings. ADVERTISING/SIGNAGE	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 31.08.2019</b> The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee on matters concerning registered parks and gardens, and is now working closely with County Garden Trusts such as Sussex Gardens Trust (SGT) regarding commenting on planning policy and planning applications. Representatives of SGT have studied the plans relating to the above application. The proposed notice board when erected is unlikely to cause any harm to the registered Alexandra Park and for this reason SGT is content with the proposals. Kind regards Jim Stockwell On behalf of Sussex Gardens Trust
Painswick House	Gloucestershire	E18/1837	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Construction of a new visitor centre, community and education room, function room, greenhouse, secured compound, with associated hard and soft landscaping (386327 - 210466). Painswick Rococo Garden, Gloucester Road, Painswick, Stroud. VISITOR FACILITIES	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 01.08.2019</b> Thank you for alerting The Garden Trust as Statutory Consultee, and The Gloucestershire Gardens and Landscape Trust (GGLT) as their County representative responding on their behalf. Having looked at the revised submission that has clarified some of the outstanding issues, I would draw your attention to GGLT's consultee response dated 11th May 2019. In this letter GGLT drew the Planning Committees attention to the balance that needed to be struck between "change" and the implications of turning the clock back on the achievement in rescuing the Rococo Garden in the first place. On that basis, GGLT supported upholding a future for this unique garden, and accepting well considered and relatively limited changes within the context of this wider historic landscape. This position remains unchanged. However, it is considered that more work is still needed to resolve the landscape and planting possibilities, to avoid the character of small scale gardening becoming apparent. Yours sincerely, David Ball, (on behalf of GGLT.)
Sherborne House	Gloucestershire	E19/0105	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Proposed single storey infill	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 17.08.2019</b> I wish to confirm that The Garden Trust as a Statutory Consultee, whose

				<p>extension and new window at Bourton Lodge, Sherborne, Cheltenham, Gloucestershire GL54 3DS. BUILDING ALTERATION OUTCOME Refused APPEAL LODGED 12.08.2019 Appeal Ref APP/F1610/W/19/3230448 to be determined on the basis of written representations</p>	<p>response on this Application was delegated to The Gloucestershire Gardens and Landscape Trust (GGLT) would not wish to modify its initial response to Cotswold District Council. Yours faithfully, David Ball, (on behalf of GGLT).</p>
Toddington Manor	Gloucestershire	E19/0620	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Construction of 3 no. outbuildings comprising a garden room, double garage and gazebo. 6 The Square, Toddington, Cheltenham. MAINTENANCE/STORAGE/OUTBUILDING, GARDEN BUILDING</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 14.08.2019</b> The Gardens Trust, as Statutory Consultee and advisor for planning proposals that may impact on Listed or Registered gardens, parks and landscapes, has notified The Gloucestershire Gardens and Landscape Trust (GGLT) to respond to this Planning Application on its behalf. This Application raises three quite separate issues that could influence the outcome of this planning submission: *The first is the impact of additional construction within the application site on the character of Toddington Manor's Grade 11 parkland and the adjacent ruin of the original Toddington Manor; *The second is the visual impact of this proposal on G.E. Street's Listed St. Andrews Church, its churchyard, and the character of Church Lane; and *Finally, the impact of the quality and disposition of the proposed buildings within the curtilage of the Listed No. 6 The Square. Parts of Toddington Manor's parkland further to the West have suffered from poor management, but the immediate parkland surrounding this particular location is well managed and retains a fine visual character. This development proposal introduces still more "domestic" visual intrusion along the park's boundary. The quality of the setting of the Church and the churchyard boundary to the North of the Application site has been influenced by a number of recent planning consents and insertions that are not entirely helpful to maintaining visual quality. This proposal and its thinned boundary screening may not assist the situation . The three timber clad slate roofed buildings distributed across the Application garden have a rather temporary "garden" quality, which are not considered to be in keeping with the character of No. 6, which is the Listed building.</p>

					<p>Taking an aesthetic but practical view, GGLT would suggest it would help this proposal to keep the site visually open to the West of the small garden gate to the lane, with wide views maintained under the trees to the arc of the parkland beyond. The thinned shrubbery to the lane would benefit from being thickened up again to form a dense screen, as shown on the tree survey drawings. The double garage might be better in a brick matching the colour of No.6, with two single doors; and set back further West away from the drive to allow easier access for vehicles (this is not clear from the drawings). It is then suggested that the garden room, currently on the West boundary, might better be attached to the South elevation of the double garage.</p> <p>However, the Committee may wish to take a position that just accepts the vagaries of the planning process in this particular location, and what was a subtle relationship between the parkland, the ruined manor, St Andrew's Church and The Square. In a sense, this proposal is the final piece of that rather compromised jigsaw, and GGLT would recommend that the Borough might choose to negotiate a more appropriate solution to this development proposal.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, David Ball, (on behalf of GGLT).</p>
Cowley Manor	Gloucestershire	E19/0699	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION One replacement lean-to shed, one larger shed to replace three smaller sheds at Gloucestershire Guide Association. Cowley, Cheltenham, Gloucestershire GL53 9NJ.</p> <p>MAINTENANCE/STORAGE/OUTBUILDING</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 24.08.2019</b></p> <p>The Garden Trust, as Statutory Consultee for planning applications that might impact on Listed and Registered parks, gardens and landscapes, has notified The Gloucestershire Gardens and Landscape Trust (GGLT) to respond on its behalf.</p> <p>Shed 2 lies within the Grade II* parkland associated with Cowley Manor; Shed 1 lies just outside the designated boundary. However, the Manor and its associated parkland has had a chequered history which has fragmented the original estate and the coherence of its management.</p> <p>The Girl Guides Association has had a long tenure in this location, and buildings do seem to be needing very urgent replacement. Bearing in mind the past management of these sites, it is hoped that the miscellaneous derelict structures and storage can be cleared away; and the replacement timber structures finished with tinted wood stained sidings, and dark coloured roofs to help these structures merge with the surrounding landscape.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, David Ball, (on behalf of GGLT)</p>

Kensal Green (All Souls) Cemetery	Greater London	E19/0668	I	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION</p> <p>Demolition of existing buildings and development of mixed use building for 50 Class C3 affordable homes, flexible Class A1/A2/B1/D1/D2 use; and associated works (Major Application). Site at 321-335 Kensal Road (Vacant Land), 337 Kensal Road and land adjacent to 338 Ladbroke Grove, LONDON W10. MAJOR HYBRID</p> <p>OUTCOME Refused</p> <p>Appeal Lodged 18.12.2018</p> <p>Appeal Ref APP/K5600/W/18/3217330</p>	<p><b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 20.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks &amp; Gardens, as per the planning appeal relating to three previous applications which we had not previously been made aware of. We appreciate having the opportunity to read the documentation and make a later submission.</p> <p>We have looked at the Heritage Statements and D&amp;A documents for the three applications. Our remit is concerned solely with the possible effect upon the Grade I registered park and garden (RPG) of Kensal Green Cemetery. The large proposed new corner building, which faces the cemetery only about 85m from the eastern boundary, is considerably taller than any of the others in the vicinity, most of which are no more than 6 storeys. The proposed 11 storey building (plus roof) towers above everything else in what we consider to be an overly dominant fashion. The LVIA's in the various heritage statements show clearly that the tall new building and its shorter companion would be extremely prominent when viewed from within the RPG, and in our opinion, completely overpower the vistas from within the Cemetery towards the Dissenters Chapel and from elsewhere within the eastern part of the cemetery. This is exacerbated by the ground level of the building being higher than the Cemetery, so the true effect is even higher than the 11 storeys plus roof.</p> <p>We strongly disagree with the statement in the Heritage Statement accompanying PP/18/00026 (3.96) 'screening effects of topography and mature planting largely prevent views ... in the visual backdrop of the chapel.' The various visual mockups from within the eastern part of the cemetery clearly demonstrate that this is simply not the case, and the buildings are hugely dominant and visible. In one view (Fig 4.2) the obelisk in the centre foreground of the cemetery, currently highlighted against clear sky, would disappear with the new building as its background instead of the sky. Other views showing the Dissenters Chapel also are marred by the extremely large new buildings proposed in the view behind. Even the iconic water tower would in some views no longer be silhouetted against the sky but instead become lost in front of the taller structures. We therefore cannot agree with the statement (39) that the scheme would actually have 'a permanent minor beneficial effect on the views.'</p> <p>We concur with the views of your officers and OBJECT to this application. We hope that this will be upheld at the Appeal.</p>
-----------------------------------	----------------	----------	---	---	--

					Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer
Central Parks	Hampshire	E18/0680	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION</p> <p>Demolition of existing buildings (Bargate Shopping Centre and multistorey car park, 77-101 Queensway, 25 East Street, 30-32 Hanover Buildings, 1-16 East Bargate and 1-4 High Street, excluding frontage)</p> <p>refurbishment of basements and mixed use development comprising 244 flats (102x one bedroom and 142x two bedroom) (use class C3), 152 units of student residential accommodation (353 bedrooms), retail use (class A1), flexible retail, office or food and drink use (Classes A1-A3), in new buildings ranging in height from 4-storey's to 12-storey's, with associated parking and servicing, landscaping and public realm (Environmental Impact Assessment Development affects a public right of way and the setting of the listed Town Walls) - Scheme amendments to planning permission 16/01303/FUL seeking changes to residential mix, design and additional height along Queensway. Bargate Shopping Centre and adjoining land In Queensway, East Street, Hanover Buildings and High Street, Southampton. MAJOR HYBRID</p>	<p><b>SCAPPS WRITTEN RESPONSE 18.08.2019</b></p> <p>Objection from SCAPPS</p> <p>SCAPPS sustains its objection as set out in the attached earlier e-mail. We have looked at the further information submitted by the applicant in response to objections. The applicant's Scheme Amendments Report dismisses SCAPPS objections to detrimental visual impact on the grade II* registered Houndwell &amp; Hoglands Parks &amp; to shading of the southern end of both Parks.</p> <p>The 4th photomontage image is the only illustration we could find attempting to dismiss SCAPPS' &amp; The Gardens Trust's objection to increased height of block E (the applicant describes it as 12 storeys; it is in effect 13, because the ground floor includes a mezzanine). The image shows the adverse effect of so tall a building on this visually prominent site. The applicant refers to Historic England's letter. Historic England did not assess or consider visual impact on the registered Parks. The Gardens Trust, a statutory consultee for development affecting the setting of parks &amp; gardens on the national register, has objected to the increased height of block E. The setting of the Central Parks is being progressively eroded by successive permissions for tall buildings which intrude in views from within the Parks. No CGI image has been offered showing how the proposed building would appear in longer distance views from within Houndwell &amp; Hoglands Parks to support the applicant's assertion that views from the 'overwhelming remainder' of the Park would be 'unaffected'. SCAPPS disagrees with the applicant's assertion that the additional 3 storeys makes no significant difference. It obviously increases the way the building will be seen rising above the tree line in much of Houndwell &amp; Hoglands Parks &amp; possibly from further north -- no visual assessment has been undertaken. SCAPPS disagrees with the applicant's conclusion that the visual intrusion 'will be mitigated by the high quality design'. The additional height does have an adverse effect on the setting of the Parks &amp; that cannot &amp; should not be ignored.</p> <p>SCAPPS second main concern was that increasing the height of block E on the south boundary of Houndwell Park would inevitably result in shading of an increased area within both Parks. That is incontrovertible. Additional material submitted by the applicant shows that to be the case. Because</p>

					trees are there does not, as the applicant asserts, mean that is an irrelevant consideration. Loss of direct sunlight to the southern end of both parks is an undesirable consequence of the proposed increase in height. Graham Linecar Secretary SCAPPS
Mountbatten House (formerly Gateway House): roof gardens and perimeter landscaping	Hampshire	E19/0494	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Refurbishment and renovation involving various internal and external alterations, including revised parking arrangements, new entrance and landscaping works. Change of use of part of level 3 from Class B1(a) (Office) to Class A3 (Cafe). Mountbatten House, Basing View, Basingstoke, Hampshire. BUILDING ALTERATION	<b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 09.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. Please accept our apologies for the slight delay in responding to this application. Mountbatten House's roof gardens and perimeter landscaping were designated for several reasons, but as far as the landscaping is concerned, the elaborate planting scheme is particularly well documented, and has survived relatively intact. What we have not been able to ascertain from the detailed plans online, is whether the replacement trees etc are faithful to the original designs? For example, on the Level 5 planting arrangement plan, specimens of Hippophae salicifolia 'Robert' are specified. Whilst this may well be a very suitable plant for the situation, googling this tree, it appears to have originated in 2018 at the Kalmthout Arboretum in Belgium. Since the planting plans would appear to be extant we wondered why this recent cultivar had been chosen rather than whatever was originally specified in the 1970s? The GT has not seen the original designs, and there may be many valid reasons why original cultivars have not been selected. Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer
Croft Castle	Hereford and Worcester	E19/0291	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Proposed re-modelling and extending car park, provision of additional toilet facilities, landscaping of area in front of the tea room, installation of solar panels on the roof of the toilets and part of the tea room including alteration and extension of curtilage building to	<b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 12.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. Apologise for the lateness of this response, but as the application has not yet been decided we would be grateful if our comments could please be added to those received. We would like to fully endorse the detailed comments made by our colleagues in the Herefordshire & Worcester Gardens Trust (HWGT) in relation to the proposed car park changes at Croft Castle. Whilst we

				Croft Castle. Croft Castle, Croft, Leominster, Herefordshire HR6 9PW. HYBRID	<p>sympathise with the NT's dilemma as to how to accommodate ever increasing numbers of visitors, their remit must always be the conservation of properties in their care so that their significance is not compromised. The existing car park is already an unfortunate way to introduce visitors to Croft Castle, diminishing the setting of the parkland as well as impacting on the trees as per the ATF's comments. We would urge your officers to explore the suggestion put forward by the HWGT for Herefordshire Council and the Forestry Commission to provide 'new strategically placed car-parking areas; one, perhaps, at Mortimer's Cross (where information on the 1461 battle site could be provided) and another to the north-east of Croft – above Richard's Castle. The Croft car park could then be dedicated solely to members.'</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Firs Wood Close, Northaw	Hertford shire	E19/0373	N	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of 26 dwellings and associated access. Land to the east of firs wood close, Northaw.</p> <p>RESIDENTIAL</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 26.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. We have studied the documents added to this application, including the Heritage Statement. The suggestion that the landscape could be recorded before development is misleading. Heritage assets should be conserved and protected (NPPF). This site has been researched by HGT and is o-considered not only to be an extant remnant of an early 19th estate, many of which have now vanished completely, and thus on the HGT's own List. but also to contribute to the rural nature of the area which affects the setting of many of the heritage assets in Northaw.</p> <p>Kate Harwood</p>
Dacorum Parking Standards SPD	Hertford shire	E19/0470	n/a	<p>LOCAL PLAN Dacorum's Draft Parking Standards Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) consultation</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 30.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Hertfordshire Gardens Trust on this SPD. We have no comments on the provision of parking for new developments except to say that where parking would have an adverse impact on heritage assets and their setting, eg in conversion of old houses, this should be considered alongside the other criteria outlined in the document to conform both to the NPPF (Section 16 Conserving and enhancing the historic environment) and the required heritage policies in the emerging Local Plan</p> <p>Kate Harwood Conservation &amp; Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust</p>

Brockett Hall	Hertford shire	E19/0504	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Removal of various chattels from the building. Brocket Hall, Brocket Park, Marford Road, Lemsford, Welwyn Garden City AL8 7XG. MISCELLANEOUS	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 02.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust in its role as statutory consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England on their Register of Parks &amp; Gardens. We do not wish to comment on this application concerning the chattels inside the mansion which does not affect the Registered Park and Garden. Kate Harwood</p>
Gobions (Gubbins)	Hertford shire	E19/0544	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of 1x dwelling and 2x replacement bridges following demolition of existing buildings and bridges. Land rear of 10-18 Mymms Drive, Brookmans Park, Hatfield AL9 7AF. RESIDENTIAL	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 12.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust. The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee regarding proposed development affecting a site on the Historic England Register of Parks &amp; Gardens. Hertfordshire Gardens Trust is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations. Gobions is an early 18th century park and garden laid out by Charles Bridgeman, the foremost landscape designer of his era, and is included on the HE Register. In recent years there has been more research and discoveries, under the leadership of Prof Tom Williamson of the University of East Anglia, including an early map found in the Bodleian Library, resulting in articles in learned journals and a better understanding of the site. More research and site assessments are planned. The surveys undertaken by the Williamson team have also discovered that more evidence of the design remains on the ground and there may well be further evidence uncovered of the importance of this site. We consider that the Register entry of 1987 does not take into account the full significance of the Gobions design as now understood.</p> <p>The site of the proposed house is within the boundaries of the 18th century landscape and immediately adjacent to the HR register boundary. It is therefore very important in the setting and thus the significance of the landscape and development here would harm that significance. The period of the formation of the Gobions designed landscape, c.1730, was a period of transition from the earlier formal gardens to including the wider landscape in a more informal, naturalistic way. This includes views and vistas to the edges of the parkland and beyond, including up to the ridge to the north of the site.</p> <p>The NPPF states heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource, and should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance and that less than substantial harm to a heritage asset should be weighed against the</p>

					<p>public benefits of the proposal. We do not consider that there are any public benefits to outweigh the harm caused. Sustainable development is in part defined as contributing to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment (NPPF: Achieving Sustainable Development0.</p> <p>WHBC's own heritage policy (SADM15) state that proposals that result in less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset will be refused unless the need for, and benefits of, the development in that location significantly outweigh that harm. We consider that the harm outweighs the benefits. This area is not included in any designated development area on the WHBC Local Plans, either current or emerging. We consider that this application does not satisfy the NPPF's sustainable development environmental objective, nor the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets (NPPF:185). It is contrary to the WHBC Policy on heritage (SADM15) and Green Belt Policy where purpose 3 is to Assist in Safeguarding the Countryside from Encroachment. (We note that the LUC Green Belt Study for WHBC of March 2019, has a photograph of the arch at Gobions on its cover)</p> <p>At present the line of the Mymms Drive houses and garden forms a strong visual boundary to the north of the parkland. We consider that this should be preserved as the boundary line and no development permitted to encroach further on the Registered landscape or its setting.</p> <p>Yours sincerely  Kate Harwood  Conservation &amp; Planning  Hertfordshire Gardens Trust  and  The Gardens Trust</p>
The Mulberries, Welwyn	Hertford shire	E19/0597	N	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Certificate of lawfulness for the stationing of mobile shepherds hut inside residential curtilage. The Mulberries, Danesbury Park Road, Welwyn AL6 9SE. HOLIDAY ACCOMMODATION OUTCOME 28.08.2019 Approved</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 10.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. The Mulberries is on the site of the walled Kitchen Garden adjacent to Danesbury Park, which is on the HGT List of Local parks and gardens of historic interest. Shepherds' huts were traditionally of a seasonal temporary nature. The proposal that this hut is not to be stationary but to be moved from time to time is therefore appropriate and we suggest that this is a condition of any permission granted."</p> <p>Kate Harwood</p>
Gorhambury	Hertford	E19/0602	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION and</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 10.08.2019</b></p>

	shire			Listed Building Consent Change of use of a curtilage listed building to provide a one bedroom dwelling. The Fruit Store, Gorhambury, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL3 6AL. RESIDENTIAL	Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. We have no objection to the change of use to a 1-bedroom dwelling. The store has lost many of the details such as the fruit racks. However, a few features do remain and we would urge that they be retained where possible. This building is within the area of Nicholas Bacon's great 16th century garden as described by Francis Bacon in 'Of gardens'. in 1625. Some of the original Tudor garden wall is extant (in the present walled garden) and any archaeological investigation should include detailed recording of any garden features of this period, both above and below ground-level. Kate Harwood
The Garden House, Cottered	Hertfordshire	E19/0611	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Regularisation of rethatching and reinstatement of plinth to Mountain Hut, regularisation of rethatching to Turtle Hut, regularisation of installation of new Shoji paper and erection of bamboo screen to Tea House, proposal for replacement of cedar shingles and associated repairs to Resting Hut, proposal for re-bedding of stones surrounding Turtle Hut, proposal for repairs to Fox Shrine, proposal for repairs to Torii Gate, and proposal for splice repair to gate at entrance to Tea House. The Japanese Garden, Garden House, Cottered, Buntingford, Hertfordshire SG9 9PZ. REPAIR/RESTORATION	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 22.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting the Gardens Trust of which HGT is a member. We support in principle the repair and replacement with original materials and techniques of the artefacts within this important II* Registered Japanese Garden. On the basis of the information within this application, we do not wish to comment further. Kate Harwood Conservation & Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust
19 Scholars Mews, Welwyn Garden City	Hertfordshire	E19/0614	N	PLANNING APPLICATION Fell 1x Oak tree (TPO 437). 19 Scholars Mews, Welwyn Garden City AL8 7JQ. TREES	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 12.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting the Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. We are concerned that there is no arboricultural assessment to support the statements regarding possible disease in this tree nor any professional assessment of the condition of this tree, and therefore the necessity of felling it.

					Until such documents are provided, we are unable to comment fully. Kate Harwood
Bush Hall Hotel, Hatfield	Hertford shire	E19/0617	N	PLANNING APPLICATION Submission of details pursuant to condition 3 (Archaeological scheme of investigation) on planning permission 6/2018/3054/MAJ. Bush Hall Hotel, Chequers. Hatfield AL9 5NT. MISCELLANEOUS	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 10.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. We are satisfied with the information provided to discharge condition 3 of the planning permission 6.2018/3054/MAJ Kate Harwood
Stanstead Bury	Hertford shire	E19/0647	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of 4 no. office/workshops for B1(a) (office), B1(b) (Research and development) B1(c) (Light industrial) B2 (General industrial) and B8 (Storage and distribution) use with associated parking. The Dairy, Stansteadbury, Roydon Road, Stanstead, Abbots Ware, Hertfordshire SG12 8JZ. OFFICE/COMMERCIAL	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 28.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. On the basis of the information contained in this application and our knowledge of the site and its landscape history, we support the rebuilding of the former dairy in a style which enhances the grouping in the old farm yard and respects the Grade II listed Granary and Barn. Kate Harwood Conservation & Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust
Youngsbury	Hertford shire	E19/0648	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Removal of window sashes and replace with new timber sashes using slim heritage double glazing with a heritage style outer pane. Youngsbury, Wadesmill, Hertfordshire SG12 0TZ. MISCELLANEOUS	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 21.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting the Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. We have considered the documents detailing the current glazing bars and glass, and the proposed replacement. On the basis of this we do not consider that the proposals would have a harmful effect on the Grade II* 'Capability' Brown landscape and therefore have no objections. Kate Harwood Conservation & Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust
1 Welwyn Hall Gardens, Welwyn	Hertford shire	E19/0651	N	PLANNING APPLICATION Raising and levelling of ground to facilitate landscaping and erection of fence. 1 Welwyn Hall, Gardens Welwyn AL6 9LF. BOUNDARY, LANDSCAPE	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 15.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. We are concerned that the levelling of the ground may well affect the root systems of adjoining mature trees which are part of the character of the Conservation Area. We have seen no documents addressing this in the application. We note that trees have been omitted from the drawings 'for clarity'. A clear indication of how the proposed changes will affect the trees

					<p>should be provided.</p> <p>We would not wish to see the boundary fence be raised to a higher level than the adjacent walling along Church Street which is also part of the character of the CA.</p> <p>We would suggest that unless it is clear that the proposals will not harm the CA character along Church Street, nor the mature trees, this application be refused.</p> <p>Kate Harwood</p>
25 Woodland Rise, Welwyn Garden City	Hertford shire	E19/0657	N	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Fell 1 x Conifer tree, Fell 1 x Holly tree, Reduce 1 x Oak tree. 25 Woodland Rise, Welwyn Garden City AL8 7LJ. TREES</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 15.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting the Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. The garden at 25 Woodland Drive is part of the ancient Sherrards Wood, part of the Digswell landscape improved by Capability Brown in the 18th century.</p> <p>We would recommend obtaining an expert opinion on the work to be done to the oak tree as details of its age and condition are not given on the application form.</p> <p>Kate Harwood</p>
Gobions (Gubbins)	Hertford shire	E19/0663	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Prior approval the erection of single storey rear extension with a flat roof and parapet, measuring 5.5M in dept. 5 Boltons Cottages, Swanley Bar Lane, Little Heath, Potters Bar EN6 1NS. BUILDING ALTERATION</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 16.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. The site of Boltons Cottages is within the historic landscape of Gobions, but not within the area delineated on the Historic England Register, although part of the setting of the RPG. However, the views across the parkland are of particular importance in this early 18th century design, as shown by the Folly Arch further along the road.</p> <p>At present the site is partially screened with bushes from the views southwards from the parkland. Should permission be granted for the proposed extension this screening should be retained and enhanced if necessary.</p> <p>Kate Harwood</p>
The Commons, Welwyn Garden City	Hertford shire	E19/0676	N	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of 4x dwellings with associated amenity and parking following demolition of existing garages. Land and Garages, The Commons, Welwyn Garden City AL7 4RP. RESIDENTIAL</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 16.08.2019</b></p> <p>The Hertfordshire Gardens Trust has no objection to the development of 4 x 2-bedroom houses on this site.</p> <p>However, we are concerned that the extension of the existing footprint north- eastwards into the woodland will affect the setting of the Burnt Mound, a prehistoric landscape feature within the woodland which has yielded important evidence of the early history of landuse and settlements in this area. The woodland protects this feature from intrusion and possible erosion by the public. We consider that the loss of part of one of the green</p>

					'fingers' designed to link the town and countryside, as in Howard's vision, should not be permitted and the design of the development should be altered accordingly. Kate Harwood
Putteridge Bury	Hertford shire	E19/0708	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Conversion of ancillary residential building to separate dwelling with associated landscaping and access. Land Adj. 9 Home Farm Court, Putteridge Park, Luton, Hertfordshire LU2 8NN. RESIDENTIAL	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 26.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting Hertfordshire Gardens Trust, a member of The Gardens Trust, statutory consultee for development affecting a site on the Register. This application is for conversion of an ancillary building and associated landscaping. There is no detail of the proposed landscaping apart from mention of a hedge (no species, or dimensions), and no details of design and dimensions of the new gates which would be visible across the registered parkland. We cannot therefore comment on the effect the 'landscaping' would have on the registered parkland until these details can be assessed. Kate Harwood Conservation & Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust
Napsbury Hospital	Hertford shire	E19/0712	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Part single, part two storey rear extension and alterations to openings (resubmission following withdrawal of 5/2019/0704). 51 North Cottages, Napsbury, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL2 1Aw. BUILDING ALTERATION	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 23.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting the Gardens Trust of which HGT is a member and authorised by them to respond to planning applications affecting Registered Parks & Gardens. Napsbury Hospital, Registered at Grade II, was laid out by Rowland Plumble and the grounds by William Goldring, to give an innovative therapeutic setting for those with mental illness. Much of this remains, especially to the north of the site and includes North Cottages. We have studied the information contained in this application and do not consider that this development as proposed would cause substantial harm to the RPG. Kate Harwood Conservation & Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust
Temple Dinsley	Hertford shire	E19/0727	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of boundary walls and new access gates. Dower House, Hitchin Road, Preston Hitchin, Hertfordshire SG4 7TZ.	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 27.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting Hertfordshire Gardens Trust, a member of The Gardens Trust, statutory consultee. We note that the application is for new walls and gates. The drawing ,C64, clearly shows the location and design of the walls and the field-type gate to

				BOUNDARY, ACCESS/GATES	<p>the walled garden. We support the re-instatement of the brick wall and the gate as detailed in the documents.</p> <p>However, the access gate to Hitchin Road marked on C64 has no drawing to show the design, but refers to drawing C24. C24 is not on the website with the other planning documents so we cannot comment on that element of the application.</p> <p>Kate Harwood Conservation &amp; Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust</p>
Northaw House	Hertford shire	E19/0741	N	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION</p> <p>Conversion of Northaw House to form 11 apartments (including refurbishment of existing single caretaker's flat) and underground parking area, the Ballroom Wing to form 2 dwellings, the Stable Block to form 1 dwelling, refurbishment of existing dwelling at Oak Cottage, 3 dwellings within the Walled Garden, 7 dwellings within the Settlement Area, refurbishment of the Walled Garden, refurbishment of access routes and reinstatement of old route, provision of hard and soft landscaping, car parking and supporting infrastructure.</p> <p>Northaw House, Coopers Lane, Northaw, Potters Bar EN6 4NG.</p> <p>BUILDING ALTERATION, RESIDENTIAL</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 29.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting Hertfordshire Gardens Trust, a member of The Gardens Trust.</p> <p>Northaw House, Nyn Park, Northaw Place, The Hook and Barvin Park were laid out as gentry estates with 'naturalistic' landscapes during the 18th and early 19th centuries. This gives this area of south Hertfordshire, and Northaw in particular, much of its rural open character.</p> <p>Northaw House in particular, set on a rise in the ground, commands wide views and consequently is a focus for views from a wide area. We consider it important, both for Northaw House and the historic and rural character of the area that these are kept open.</p> <p>We therefore object to the number and siting of housing units in these proposals. In particular, units marked on the Site Plan as 24SU2, 25SU2, 27D and 26D will introduce built elements into the views from the village and further afield. They will also harm the setting, and therefore the significance, of the Grade II mansion and the Grade II stables.</p> <p>The setting of these listed buildings will be further harmed by the proposed parking in front of the mansion. The clutter and glare caused by parking here will also affect the openness of the parkland to the north and the views already alluded to.</p> <p>We are unclear as to how refurbishment of the walled garden can be achieved if 4 houses are to be built in it. These together with their gardens etc will destroy the historical attributes of the walled garden, and thus its significance and relevance to the assemblage of heritage assets at Northaw House.</p> <p>Although we have no objection in principle to conversion of the mansion and stables to flats and limited building conversions in the former service yard, we consider that the development as proposed is of too high a density for this rural landscape. We therefore object to the proposals as</p>

					<p>presented in these two applications.</p> <p>The NPPF and WHBC's own policies support the conservation and enhancement of heritage assets, whether designated or undesignated. We consider that this proposal harms these heritage assets, both the listed buildings and the undesignated landscape, which has been added to HGT's List Historic Parks and Gardens of Local Interest.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Kate Harwood Conservation &amp; Planning: Hertfordshire Gardens Trust</p>
Combe Bank	Kent	E19/0289	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION</p> <p>Construction of a full-size artificial grass Hockey pitch with 8no. 12.5m high lighting columns, perimeter fencing, spectator/practice strip, separating mesh curtain and associated works. Provision of other sports facilities including grassed playing fields. Radnor House, Sevenoaks, Combe Bank Drive, Sundridge, KENT TN14 6AE.</p> <p>EDUCATION</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 05.08.2019</b></p> <p>I refer to the Gardens Trust's letter to you dated 30 June in connection with the above application. I am writing to you on behalf on the Kent Gardens Trust who are affiliated to the Gardens Trust and assist in preparing responses to planning applications in Kent.</p> <p>We have recently received, via the Gardens Trust, a copy of the Lighting Assessment Report prepared by Halliday Lighting dated 27 July 2019, which has been prepared in response to the comments made by the various consultees, including the Gardens Trust, on this planning application. On page 3 of this report Halliday Lighting state 'The site at Radnor House is in an Rural area with Low district brightness, the recommendations for an environmental zone E2 have therefore been applied'</p> <p>Halliday Lighting are incorrect, as the site is in an AONB and in accordance with Table 1 on page 2 of their report the site is in a Natural area and the recommendation is that an environmental zone E1 should be applied. The current proposed lighting arrangement does not comply with this recommendation.</p> <p>Should the Council be minded to approve this application it is requested that the applicant complies with the guidance provided by the Institute of Lighting Professionals</p> <p>Yours sincerely Mike O'Brien Co Chairman Kent Gardens Trust</p>
Clitheroe Castle	Lancashire	E19/0498	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION</p> <p>Application for Listed Building Consent for external alterations to the building including replacement rainwater goods, repairs to the roof, installation of</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 05.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site listed by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks and Gardens. The Lancashire Gardens Trust (LGT) is a</p>

				<p>an additional rear ground floor shutter and the installation of reinforced glazing. Bowling Green Cafe, Castle Hill, Castle Grounds, Clitheroe BB7 1BG. BUILDING ALTERATION</p>	<p>member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations. These repairs are welcomed in order to bring this Bowling Green Café back into use. We support the application. It is noted that ivy growth is advancing over the building and there appears to be no mention of any works to address this in the current works. We trust that this modest precautionary work could be incorporated into the current project. If there are any matters arising from this please contact LGT on <a href="mailto:conservation@lancsgt.org.uk">conservation@lancsgt.org.uk</a></p> <p>Yours faithfully  Stephen Robson  S E Robson BSc BPhil MA(LM) DipEP CMLI MRTPI  Chair, Conservation &amp; Planning Group</p>
Eaton Park	Norfolk	E19/0522	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Change of use from changing rooms to art school and associated alterations. North-West Quadrant Pavilion, Eaton Park, South Park Avenue, Norwich NR4 7EE. CHANGE OF USE, BUILDING ALTERATION</p>	<p><b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 02.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks &amp; Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Norfolk Gardens Trust (NGT) and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>The transformation of Eaton Park began in 1924, when the Superintendent of Norwich Parks, the celebrated Capt A Sandys-Winsch, a pupil of Thomas Mawson, designed ambitious improvement works using concrete and steel, which the post war government wished to encourage and the Park was formally nominated for a government funded employment scheme to maximise sporting facilities in Norwich. The site first came to national prominence in 1926 when General Kentish, the much-decorated secretary of the National Playing Fields Association, visited Norwich in the company of the lord lieutenant (the earl of Leicester) and Walter Hansell (the originator of the Norwich Playing Fields and Open Spaces Society). Kentish was so impressed by the amenities of Eaton Park that he asked for a set of maps in order to promote Norwich as a model achievement in the provision of civic recreational space. Norwich achieved another public relations coup by inviting the Prince of Wales to preside at the official opening ceremony on 30 May 1928. Sandys-Winsch's designs create the illusion of private parkland rather than municipal sports fields and offset the otherwise unremitting dominance of the games pitches, providing</p>

					<p>utility with elegance.</p> <p>The current application includes provision for 104 solar panels on the flat roof of the NE corner of the Pavilion, as well as an air-conditioning unit. The GT/NGT is concerned that solar panels, if used, should not be visible from ground level and therefore not spoil the views of this iconic building in the centre of Norwich's biggest planned park.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Plumpton Rocks	North Yorkshire	E19/0519	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION</p> <p>Conversion of storage building to create 1 no. dwelling. Plumpton Hall Farm, Farrar Wood To Plumpton Park, Plumpton HG5 8NA. BUILDING ALTERATION, CHANGE OF USE, RESIDENTIAL</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 13.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust. The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee regarding proposed development affecting a site on the Register. The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations.</p> <p>Plumpton Rocks is registered grade II* and also a conservation area. The designed landscape/pleasure grounds date from the mid-18th C, laid out with advice from John Carr of York as grounds for a country house built within a pre-existing park from the 15C. The new house being built by Carr was never completed, and pulled down in the 1760's with part of Carr's new stable block converted to form the present Plumpton Hall which is listed grade II*. Flanking walls link the house to the stable buildings forming the stable courtyard. The whole historic assemblage was designed by John Carr. During the 1990's several of the buildings in the courtyard were converted into separate residences under separate ownerships. The Plumpton Hall Farm buildings, which are the subject of these planning applications, are to the north of Plumpton Hall and within the registered park and garden but within a courtyard and do not look out onto any parkland. They are not visible from the historic designed landscape and the proposals should not have any impact on the wider registered site. We do not wish to offer any specific advice regarding the architectural details except to note that these should be sympathetic to the existing buildings and the historic precedence and trust that you will confer with your authority's conservation officers.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning</p>

					Cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust
Plumpton Rocks	North Yorkshire	E19/0548	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Listed building consent for the infilling of 3 bays of existing storage area and inclusion of existing converted areas to form additional dwelling. Plumpton Hall Farm, Farrar Wood To Plumpton Park, Plumpton HG5 8NA. CHANGE OF USE, BUILDING ALTERATION, RESIDENTIAL	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 13.08.2019</b> As E19/0519 above
The Long Walk, Knaresborough	North Yorkshire	E19/0650	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Change of Use of First Floor from Residential (Use class C3) to Office (Use class B1). Prophecy Lodge, Harrogate Road, Knaresborough, North Yorkshire HG5 8DD. CHANGE OF USE	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 31.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust. The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee regarding proposed development affecting a site on the Register – The Long Walk, Knaresborough, registered Grade II. The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations. Prophecy Lodge was designed as a house and lies within the registered boundary of The Long Walk near its northern entrance. The change of use occurred in 2017 and as far as we are aware has not had any impact on the registered site. We have no comments to make. Yours sincerely, Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning Cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust
Nun Appleton Hall	North Yorkshire	E19/0658	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Restoration of house to be as closely as possible to the building shown in Philips Manuscript, excluding wings, including restoration of the interior ground and first floor plans to the 1894 plan, reconfiguration of the west side basement to kitchen and storage space, retention of garage, reconstruction of loggia,	<b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 14.08.2019</b> The Gardens Trust became aware of the above applications via our colleagues in the Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) and are therefore responding somewhat belatedly. The Gardens Trust (GT) is a Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application, so we would have expected your officers to have notified us and hope that despite the lateness of this response, our comments will be taken into consideration when deciding this application. The GT/YGT have studied the online documentation which covers the architecture/condition of the house in some depth, but which devotes far

				<p>and demolition of some areas of 20th, 19th and 18th Century fabric. Nun Appleton Hall, Nun Appleton, Appleton Roebuck, York, North Yorkshire YO5 7BG REPAIR/RESTORATION</p>	<p>less detail to the effect the proposed work would have upon the Registered Park &amp; Garden (RPG). There is for example, no reference to any external works (drives, paths, terraces, lawns, pleasure gardens, kitchen garden, deer park), all of which must surely be important if the house is completely remodeled? None of the major works proposed on the house would of themselves physically alter the Park, although they may well have an effect upon its setting, but minor works such as drains and other services in trenches could cause local damage, as well as scaffolding, material storage etc. The documentation mentions the RPG in passing in the D&amp;A and in the Heritage Statement where there is reference to a formal garden, C19 fishpond, agricultural land and woodland, as well as a 'small garden building' (Paras 2.2/3). The HE entry for the RPG is given within the Heritage statement for the main building but the grounds are mentioned somewhat dismissively (The Contribution of Setting, p11) : 'The original setting of the building comprised formal gardens. As so often elsewhere these seem to have been lost in the 18th century and the present park to the north appears to be a product of this, and later dates.'</p> <p>It is evident that should permission be granted, the proposed works will have an effect upon the surrounding Grade II RPG. Without consideration as to how these suggested works may impact the RPG and its setting, it is difficult to judge the application as it stands. It would appear that the applicant is unaware that this needs to be fully explored so your officers can make an informed decision. The register entry is dated 2000 and no access to the designed landscape has, to our knowledge, been permitted since then. The house has also been unoccupied during that period and the reports show the current disrepair, so it can only be imagined what the current state of the RPG and its setting might be. However, such neglect cannot serve as a reason for not considering the RPG. Since 2000 research into designed landscapes has advanced and new knowledge and methods may well reveal new discoveries - evidential value of the C17 Fairfax gardens would be of particular interest.</p> <p>Should your officers approve this application we would suggest that your officers ask for the following conditions to be applied :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A Heritage Statement should be commissioned summarising the history of all known remaining features within the RPG</li> <li>- The applicant should instigate a method of recording and preserving any historic features identified/discovered within the RPG during the works</li> <li>- Suitable method statements should be prepared regarding the potential</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>impact of any construction activities upon the RPG and the management of such impacts during work</p> <p>- A Conservation Management Plan for the RPG</p> <p>We would be grateful if you could please let us know the outcome of this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Clumber Park	Nottinghamshire	E19/0531	I	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Various New and Replacement Orientation Signage. Clumber Park, Worksop, Nottinghamshire. ADVERTISING/SIGNAGE</p>	<p><b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 13.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks &amp; Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Nottinghamshire Gardens Trust (NGT) and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application. We have studied the online documentation and the GT/NGT have no objection to the proposed signage scheme for Clumber Park. We welcome the introduction of a scheme of suitably designed and informative signs and information panels as part of the continued visitor management of this important and busy parkland site.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Marston House	Somerset	E17/1066	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Restoration of 114 Acres of Grade II listed Park and Garden including Marston Pond, Thickthorn Wood, Orrery Wood, the Keeper's Cottage and Boat House with enabling development to include 20 Lodges, Hub and Reception buildings. Change of land use from agricultural to Hotel, Leisure and Recreation. Marston Pond, Thickthorn Wood And Horley Wood, Tuckmarsh Lane, Marston Bigot BA11 5BY. HYBRID</p>	<p><b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 07.08.2019</b></p> <p>The Gardens Trust, in its capacity as Statutory Consultee, has already submitted two letters strongly objecting to the above proposal which we believe will bring about a permanent, irreversible and detrimental change to this nationally important landscape and associated listed heritage assets. We have made a site visit and read the recent additional information/reports submitted by the applicant. Our strong OBJECTION to the application remains and this letter contains further points to support this stance.</p> <p>The crux of the matter is whether the proposed development will adversely affect the Grade II Registered Park and Garden (RPG) of Marston, as well as the Grade II* Marston House, Grade I church of St Leonard, the Grade II Rectory and Scheduled deserted medieval site and their settings. The estate has been in the ownership of the applicant's family since 1905. The application site has been seriously neglected and the registered assets</p>

					<p>allowed to deteriorate to their current state of near dereliction. The proposals, put forward as representing the restoration of the site, in our opinion, actually add to the substantial harm already caused by decades of neglect, for the reasons given in our previous letters and in this response. The Sandersons of Marston House have repeatedly offered to purchase the site at above market value. The dredging of the lake and woodland work could be covered by Higher Tier Stewardship, thereby removing at one stroke the need for any development at all within the centre of the Registered Park and Garden. In our opinion, this would therefore not comply with your own local plan, policy DP1 – Local Identity and Distinctiveness : ...'the need for the proposal to take place in that location.'</p> <p>We read Connect Consultants' Report on 'Estimated People Movement' and are not convinced that the construction of 20 substantial holiday chalets which include ambitious Tree Houses, a Reception building, a three storey, large capacity Hub 89m long with 52m of glazing facing the lake plus a roof terrace, in a previously entirely undeveloped piece of woodland, generates activity 'comparable' to current levels. It is not credible that such an extensive development will at times of maximum people movement result in only 1-9 persons per hour visible in the environment from the given view points, moving between the Lodges and the Hub. Common sense would suggest that the main reason visitors would choose to stay in the Lodges is due to their positioning around the lake. It is inconceivable that barely any would venture to the clear areas near the water's edge. The proposed 3 boat trips a day would also require a pontoon or similar near the lodges and would become a major attraction especially for children with the associated noise and commotion. We fully endorse Pegasus Group's Note on Heritage dated 25th July 2019 and will not repeat the points made there.</p> <p>We also find it hard to accept that such an extensive amount of new building within a previously entirely dark woodland would emit only negligible amounts of light at night. Visitors moving between lodges/Hub throughout the year as well as ad hoc outdoor activities on summer nights would require some kind of external lighting as well as escaping light being visible from within the structures. The photomontages take no account of the loss of much of the existing tree cover and vegetation which would be lost through a combination of Ash Die Back disease, clearance for construction and tidiness around the site. Again, we concur with Pegasus'</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>comments regarding light emission and their further comments on Cotswold Archaeology's Heritage Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Garden Trust has serious concerns that if this application is approved the character of the Registered Park and Garden will be completely changed. Mendip's own adopted local plan, policy DP3, 6.18 states – 'The historic fabric and significance of these buildings and places and their character is ... a fragile resource and one which is an irreplaceable and unique record of our cultural heritage. The preservation of our historic build (sic) environment (as well as our designed landscapes) (our emphasis) is important not only as it provides a link with the past, but because the heritage assets themselves enhance the appearance of our ... countryside reinforcing their sense of place.' Taken in conjunction with the requirement to 'Justify any harm to a Heritage Asset and demonstrate the overriding public benefits which would outweigh the damage to that Asset of its setting' and the acknowledged requirement of your Local Authority to (6.19) act as "custodians' of the historic environment and have a duty to ensure that heritage assets are conserved and, where possible, enhanced in a manner appropriate to their significance' we feel that the current application fails to meet these criteria.</p> <p>In assessing this application, your authority should have regard to the Government's guidance on enhancing and conserving the historic environment. This was revised and updated just a few weeks ago (23.7.2019) and is highly relevant to the present application. It states that public benefit should flow from any proposed development which may include 'sustaining or enhancing the significance of a heritage asset and the contribution of its setting; reducing or removing risks to a heritage asset, and security the optimum viable use of a heritage asset in support of its long term conservation.' In our view none of these apply to this application. This revised guidance also considers the setting of heritage assets : 'the way in which we experience an asset in its setting is also influenced by other environmental factors such as noise ....from other land uses in the vicinity, and by our understanding of the historic relationship between places'. If this development is allowed, apart from the noise, the physical presence of a holiday village in the heart of the Gilpin Picturesque designed landscape adjacent to the lake which acts as the principal eyecatcher/destination from the house will, we feel, preclude the easy understanding of Gilpin's still readable landscape. The revised guidance also states 'local planning authorities ....may ... need to consider the fact</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>that developments which materially detract from the asset’s significance may also damage its economic viability now, or in the future, thereby threatening its ongoing conservation.’ This is entirely relevant in this instance. The guidance also appraises the optimum viable use for a heritage asset and how this should be taken into account in planning decisions : ‘It is important that any use is viable, not just for the owner, but also for the future conservation of the asset: a series of failed ventures could result in a number of unnecessary harmful changes being made to the asset.’ We would question whether the significant outlay required to construct the holiday village would be easily recouped and whether the venture is therefore unsustainable. Such harmful change needs to be assessed, and as the NPPF makes clear, significance derives not only from a heritage asset’s physical presence, but also from its setting (P194). The extent of the harm we believe this causes to the RPG needs to be quantified, and in this instance we feel comes in at the higher end of less than substantial harm, only because the heritage asset is not destroyed by the proposals which is what would constitute substantial harm. The significance of the lake and its environs, the centrepiece of the Gilpin design, would in our opinion, be largely ruined by the holiday village. The Gardens Trust also believes that the application is contrary to NPPF P195 as the public benefits which outweigh the harm cannot be adequately justified : grant funding would enable the site’s conservation without the loss of significance these proposals would bring. The Government’s revised guidance states : ‘It is the degree of harm to the asset’s significance rather than the scale of the development that is to be assessed. The harm may arise from works to the asset or from development within its setting.’ The Gardens Trust would like to reiterate our strong OBJECTION to what we consider to be further detrimental change to this important and fragile RPG. The option for restoration without any possible damage to significance is readily available, and it would seem short-sighted and irresponsible to ignore this at the cost of possible irreversible harm to the significance and setting of several nationally important heritage assets.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,  Margie Hoffnung  Conservation Officer</p>
Babington House	Somerset	E19/0514	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Development of additional guest and staff parking spaces to the	<p><b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 02.08.2019</b>  Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included</p>

				<p>South of Babington House on a parcel of agricultural land. The creating of designated back of house and maintenance buildings and associated landscaping works and planting, and the change of use of the site to class C1 Hotel. Babington House, Vobster Cross To Hatchet Hill, Babington, Frome BA11 3RW. HOTEL/HOSPITALITY</p>	<p>by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks &amp; Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Somerset Gardens Trust (SGT) and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>We have studied the online documentation and although there is a Heritage Statement, in our opinion it is far too brief and gives insufficient information to guide your officers in their assessment of the impact of the proposed development upon the registered parkland (RPG). This in turn means that it is hard to judge whether the proposed landscape mitigation works are appropriate and whether the new parking (which we appreciate is important to the successful running of the hotel) will detract from the setting and significance of the RPG. The documentation does mention that Portus and Whitton have done a LVIA, but this does not appear to be amongst the documents online. Given the importance of the Grade II listed garden, we would expect to see a considerably more detailed assessment of the historic parkland.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Office</p>
Sheffield General Cemetery	South Yorkshire	E18/1178	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Demolition of garage/office buildings and erection of 22 apartments in 4/5 storey block including semi-basement/part ground floor car parking and ancillary accommodation. Cemetery Road Car Sales, 300 Cemetery Road, Sheffield S11 8FT. DEMOLITION, CEMETERY, RESIDENTIAL</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 09.08.2019</b></p> <p>Further Amendments Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) on the further amendments to this planning application. The Gardens Trust has liaised with the Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) and YGT is responding on behalf of both Trusts. We would be grateful if you could please take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>We refer you to the contents of our earlier letters of 6th December 2018, 11th March and 21st June 2019.</p> <p>Although in principle we are supportive of the redevelopment of this brownfield site and note the revisions, we remain very concerned that the amendments do not sufficiently reduce the level of harm to the significance of the grade II* registered Sheffield General Cemetery, its listed buildings and the conservation area. The Cemetery and its setting were designed to afford views both along Cemetery Road and within the cemetery itself as we explained in our earlier letter. The height and mass of the proposed development still does not respect that of the other structures within the cemetery landscape and along the streetscape of Cemetery Road; it remains more than two storeys and will dominate the</p>

					<p>listed former Cemetery Office and the neighbours on the opposite side of Cemetery Road. Trees within the cemetery are likely to be damaged and there will be pressure to fell trees to gain views. In addition, the proposed apartment block will be the dominant structure when viewed from below both within the cemetery itself and from the opposite side of the valley to the north.</p> <p>We remain of the opinion that despite the changes the scale of the proposed development would still be harmful to the historic character of the Sheffield General Cemetery, the conservation area and the setting of three listed buildings. Given the high significance of these designated heritage assets, great weight should be given to their conservation and this includes avoiding harmful development within their setting. We do not consider that this harm is necessary in order for the site to be developed and therefore in our view the proposals are contrary to paragraphs 192, 193 and 194 of the National Planning Policy Framework, February 2019. We note the statutory duty of section 66(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 to have special regard to the desirability of preserving listed buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess.</p> <p>In conclusion the Gardens Trust and the Yorkshire Gardens Trust wishes to register their strong objection to this application and asks the Council to refuse planning permission and continue to seek a more sympathetic solution.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
Nymans	West Sussex	E19/0003	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Change of use and extension of the Riding House to a cafe and kitchen and the associated change of use of Cooks Kitchen to storage and staff WC's. Change of use of the Kitchen Block to toilets and temporary archive facilities. Alterations to Welcome Area cafe; relocate WC's and add changing area to existing kitchen</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 31.08.2019</b></p> <p>The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee on matters concerning registered parks and gardens, and is now working closely with County Garden Trusts such as Sussex Gardens Trust (SGT) regarding commenting on planning policy and planning applications. Representatives of SGT have studied the revised plans relating to the Riding House. It would appear that the proposed extension has been reduced in its forward projection from the existing building but not in its height or outward appearance. This reduction in footprint may help with the health and future longevity of an adjoining mature beech tree: a tree that presumably helps with the screening of these outbuildings from wider</p>

				<p>area; and rebuild existing toilet block with a new kitchen block. Nymans, Staplefield Road, Handcross, Haywards Heath. VISITOR FACILITIES</p>	<p>parkland view, so this is to be welcomed. Reducing the forward projection may well reduce its visibility in near oblique garden views, but probably not in longer views. So, the Trust reiterates the concerns expressed in our earlier letter of 30th April regarding the beacon of light that this cafe may shine during twilight hours.</p> <p>The Trust notes that the application has been approved with a conditions (No 3) which requires " ...details, including a sample panel of the louvres proposed to clad the Riding School, shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval." The Planning Authority should ensure that the design of the louvres will minimise this risk of light pollution affecting the parkland and wider landscape.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Jim Stockwell. On behalf of the Sussex Garden Trust. CC: The Gardens Trust</p>
Crawley Local Plan	West Sussex	E19/0638	n/a	<p>LOCAL PLAN CRAWLEY 2035: CRAWLEY BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN 2020-2035 CONSULTATION</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 12.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for notifying the Sussex Gardens Trust (SGT) of the above consultation. SGT is a member of the Gardens Trust, (a national statutory consultee), and works closely with the GT on planning matters. Representatives of SGT have reviewed the document and the Trust welcomes the inclusion of Strategic Policy HA6: Historic Parks and Gardens (as shown on page 76). Our comments on the questions posed on this section are shown below:</p> <p>Policy HA6: Historic Parks and Gardens Consultation Questions:</p> <p>→Is this policy justified and necessary? SGT comment: Yes – locally listed parks and gardens (including those identified in the Crawley Local Plan) are "Non-designated Heritage Assets" and under the NPPF these are afforded the same protection as "Designated Heritage Assets" so this policy is essential.</p> <p>→Are the requirements set out in the policy proportionate to the significance of Crawley's Historic Parks and Gardens as a heritage asset? SGT Comment - Yes</p> <p>→Does the policy need to explain more clearly what is meant by 'the historic setting and character' of a Historic Park and Garden? SGT comment it may be helpful to include a reference to the guidance issued by the Gardens Trust which may be found at <a href="http://thegardenstrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Planning-System-in-England-and-Protection-of-Historic-Parks-and-Gardens-2016-v1.pdf">http://thegardenstrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Planning-System-in-England-and-Protection-of-Historic-Parks-and-Gardens-2016-v1.pdf</a></p>

					Yours faithfully Jim Stockwell On behalf of the Sussex Gardens Trust. CC: The Gardens Trust
Standen	West Sussex	E19/0640	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Restoration of the former Standen Landfill site with a woodland and pasture landfill cap system. Evergreen Farm, West Hoathly Road, East Grinstead RH19 4NE. LANDFILL/WASTE, LANDSCAPE	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 31.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting the Sussex Gardens Trust and also the Gardens Trust (GT) about the above application The Gardens Trust is the statutory consultee on matters concerning registered parks and gardens, and is now working closely with County Garden Trusts such as Sussex Gardens Trust (SGT) regarding commenting on planning policy and planning applications. Representatives of SGT have studied the submitted documents relating to the application. The site is very close to and overlaps very slightly with Standen, which is included on the register of historic parks and gardens maintained by Historic England with a Grade I designation. We understand application site was supposed to be an inert soils tip and are surprised that the Environment Agency say they have no records. However, if there is now evidence of pollution and landfill gas, these issues need to be dealt with. When completed, the proposals should protect the garden from possible harm. Nevertheless, implementation will involve significant disruption due to movement of HGVs and the Planning Authority should ensure that conditions to any approval ensure the impact on Standen and its many visitors is minimised. Yours sincerely Jim Stockwell. On behalf of the Sussex Garden Trust. CC: The Gardens Trust
Roundhay Park	West Yorkshire	E19/0500	II	PLANNING APPLICATION New glazed entrance porch: removal of balcony balustrade and infill balcony opening with full height glazing and new first floor level glazed flat roof extension over existing flat roof. Cafe Sylva, Louis House, Princes Avenue. BUILDING ALTERATION	<b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 10.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust. The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee regarding proposed development affecting a site on Historic England's (HE) Register of Parks and Gardens (RPG). The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on their behalf in respect of such consultations. Roundhay Park, registered grade II, is an early 19th century parkland with lakes, sham castle and a canal and with later municipal gardens and park structures, many of which are listed. It has been in the ownership of Leeds

					<p>City Council since the 1870's with the principal building, a neo-classical house called the Mansion converted to a hotel. The recently erected Café Sylva is on the southern edge of Roundhay Park, near the Oakwood Clock. We are not aware that the changes will have any particular impact on the registered park and have no comment to make.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning Cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
West View Park	West Yorkshire	E19/0502	-	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Ornate railings around memorial. South African War Memorial, West View Park, Warley Road, King Cross, Halifax, Calderdale. SCULPTURE/MONUMENT</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 10.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust. The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee regarding proposed development affecting a site on Historic England's (HE) Register of Parks and Gardens (RPG). The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on their behalf in respect of such consultations. We would be grateful if you could please take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>Two local mill owners and magistrates Henry Charles McCrea (Mayor of Halifax 1869-1871) and Enoch Robinson (Mayor of Halifax 1904-1905) gave the land to Halifax Corporation for this park which is situated high on the hillside on Highroad Well Moor, with views over Calderdale; hence the name West View Park. The park was opened in 1897 and is registered grade II. The design of the park utilised the natural topography of the worked quarry and the South African War Memorial, listed grade II, was added to the formal terrace to the north in 1904. It is an elaborate ashlar sandstone structure with a circular polished grey and pink granite upper stage supporting a standing bronze figure of a soldier commemorating the 73 men who fell in the South African or Boer War. The four elevations of the pedestal originally included bronze plaques with the names of the fallen soldiers; all have now been removed or stolen.</p> <p>The war memorial is important architecturally and aesthetically and for its significance in the development of war memorials prior to the end of the First World War and as a forerunner to remembrance. The statue is the work of English born sculptor Benjamin Sheppard who was living in Tasmania and is the sister statue to the Tasmanian Boer War Memorial. We support the proposal of Calderdale Council to conserve the monument but have some reservations. We are unsure as to the historic precedent for</p>

					<p>the fence and from the documents do not think that the railings would be traditionally manufactured, but perhaps a less robust modern interpretation which would require regular maintenance. It may be that the fence would not be a deterrent to vandalism, rather more a challenge? Perhaps the Council could consider making the replacement plaques in aluminium or similar material which is less attractive to thieves and using an anti-graffiti treatment. The three surviving bronze plaques could be displayed in a suitable secure setting.</p> <p>We have no objection to this planning application but have some reservations as outlined above.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Val Hepworth Trustee Chairman Conservation and Planning Cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
Roundhay Park	West Yorkshire	E19/0536	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Construction of new pavilion, including associated landscaping works. Former Roundhay Changing Pavilion Site Off Princess Avenue, Old Park Road. SPORT/LEISURE</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 12.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust. The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee regarding proposed development affecting a site on Historic England's (HE) Register of Parks and Gardens (RPG). The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on their behalf in respect of such consultations.</p> <p>Roundhay Park, was part of a deer park mentioned in documents of 1341. During the early 19C it was owned by the Nicholson family who laid out the grounds around their mansion. It has been in the ownership of Leeds City Council since the 1870's and is registered grade II. It lies within the Conservation Area. The Mansion and several other structures in the park are listed.</p> <p>The proposed pavilion is located within the registered park in an area used for sports pitches to the south west of the site. The previous pavilion was an unlisted modern functional structure, which was in poor condition following a fire in March 2017 and has been demolished. We understand from this well-documented application that the replacement building has been carefully designed and sited to improve the pavilions appearance, visibility and security while seeking to protect the character and appearance of the open parkland. No car parking is planned but space is included for cycles; encouraging healthy exercise and protection of green space. We note that an old pollarded oak, <i>Quercus robur</i>, is growing on the</p>

					<p>site of the proposed pavilion and will be felled. This is to be regretted particularly as the arboricultural report says that it could be left standing to age gradually and provide wildlife habitat – T37 on the plan. We note that three other trees are to be planted.</p> <p>We trust that despite the proposed pavilion being located in a more prominent position it will not impact on the park and have no further comments to add.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning</p>
Temple Newsam	West Yorkshire	E19/0533	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Listed building application for internal and external works and repairs to Temple Newsam House including replacement of external lighting, works to stonework and brickwork, central heating system and piping, repairs to roofs, timber windows, bell tower; relaying of flagged footpath and replacement of missing cobbles to car park and improvements to drainage. Temple Newsam House, Temple Newsam Road, Halton. REPAIR/RESTORATION</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 12.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust. The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee regarding proposed development affecting a site on Historic England's (HE) Register of Parks and Gardens (RPG). The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on their behalf in respect of such consultations.</p> <p>This is a well- documented and considered application to carefully repair, conserve and maintain parts of the internal and external fabric of Temple Newsam House. We support the work and do not have any comments to make.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning Cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
Harewood House	West Yorkshire	E19/0630	I	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Demolition of store and dog kennels and construction of holiday lodge including partial re use of existing outbuilding. Woodside Cottage, Harewood Estate, Harrogate Road. DEMOLITION, HOLIDAY ACCOMMODATION</p>	<p><b>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 30.08.2019</b></p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site listed by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks and Gardens as per the above application. The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations.</p> <p>Woodside Cottage is located immediately within the western boundary towards the southern end of the Grade I Registered Park and Garden at Harewood House. It lies to the west of the Trout Pond which is part of a chain of ponds along Eccup Beck linking Eccup Reservoir with the Fish Pond</p>

					<p>below Harewood House. We understand that Woodside Cottage was built as a hunting lodge/game keepers' cottage in the early 1900's, although the 1851 6" OS map shows former small buildings on the site. The stone-built 'outhouse' is probably of the same date. The proposal is for essentially a new dwelling, to be used as holiday accommodation, projecting east from the outbuilding.</p> <p>Although we understand that the Harewood Estate wish to increase their income from the assets of the estate we do have concerns about this application.</p> <p>As you know nationally important landscape designers have been engaged by the Lascelles family, from the 18C designers, Richard Woods, Thomas White and Lancelot 'Capability' Brown (1716- 1783), followed by alterations and additions c 1800 by Humphry Repton and then in the mid 19C Charles Barry and William Andrews Nesfield. Although the area of this application is south of what could be deemed the historic core of the estate, from research it seems likely that Brown was responsible for the creation of the sequence of pools, and from New Bridge cascades were constructed on the Eccup Beck to be viewed on the approach to the house; part of Brown's later work for Lascelles.</p> <p>The site is part of the designed landscape shown on the J. Teal 1796 Map of the Townships of Harewood and Weardley. Prior to this, it appears to have been agricultural land, as shown on the map of the Manor of Harewood, 1698-9. The Teal plan shows the "trout pond" (75 m approx. from Woodside Cottage) to be the second of a sequence of at least five pools running south from the site of New Bridge on Eccup Beck. Today only the first two appear to remain – possibly due to silting. The 1851 6" OS map also shows a sequence of waterfalls on the beck, the first creating the well-known beautiful enticing view from New Bridge, (Listed Grade II, built in 1837 in a classical style). Therefore, it seems likely from the evidence of the Teal Map and the C19 OS maps that the circuitous route about Eccup Beck was designed to be enjoyed as a significant part of the designed Harewood landscape.</p> <p>We appreciate that the lodge is designed as a contemporary building using Siberian Larch and with a sedum flat roof. However, we do have some concerns about the elevated eastern end and the wrap around decking with a laminated glass balustrade which will have the potential to be glaring and somewhat at odds with setting of the historic designed landscape around Eccup Beck, and the naturalistic views to be had by</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>anyone enjoying this part of the estate. We also query the access situation and recommend that your authority undertakes a site visit.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
Wilbury House	Wiltshire	E19/0547	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Demolition of existing dwelling and erection of replacement dwelling. Salisbury Lodge, Cholderton Road, Wilbury, Newton Tony SP4 0HW. DEMOLITION, RESIDENTIAL</p>	<p><b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 02.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks &amp; Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Wiltshire Gardens Trust (WGT) and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application. We have studied the online documentation relating to this application, and concur with Historic England's pre-app advice. We have no objection to the location of the new Lodge and it will not in our opinion, be detrimental to the setting or significance of the Registered Park and Garden at Wilbury. Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Ramsbury Manor	Wiltshire	E19/0559	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Proposal: Courtyard Buildings- Existing pump house and garage to be demolished. Existing car park surface to be removed to allow installation of new underground drainage system. New car park surface to be installed. New underground foul water treatment unit to be installed adjacent and outside of existing boundary wall to car park. Ramsbury Manor: Lower Ground Floor- Existing plant equipment and oil tanks removed from basement Boiler Room and Cellars. New plant equipment to be installed in basement Boiler</p>	<p><b>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 05.08.2019</b> Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks &amp; Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Wiltshire Gardens Trust (WGT) would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application. We have studied the online documentation and can see that the oil tanks are to be sunken very close to the historic haha. We would like reassurance that an archaeological watching brief will be kept on the excavations. As long as these tanks are below ground we are happy that they will not adversely impact upon the significance of the Grade II registered park and garden at Ramsbury. Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>

				Room and Cellars. New 60 mins fire resisting partition and door to be constructed in existing Boiler Room. New incoming electrical supply- access to be formed in East Elevation external wall below ground level. New oil tanks to be located next to existing Ha-Ha. Ramsbury Manor, Whites Hill To High Street, Ramsbury SN8 2RG. HYBRID	
--	--	--	--	---	--