



CONSERVATION CASEWORK LOG NOTES JULY 2019

The GT conservation team received 161 new cases in England and three cases in Wales during July, in addition to ongoing work on previously logged cases. Written responses were submitted by the GT and/or CGTs for the following cases. In addition to the responses below, 61 'No Comment' responses were lodged by the GT and/or CGTs.

SITE	COUNTY	GT REF	GRADE	PROPOSAL	WRITTEN RESPONSE
Shaw House	Berkshire	E19/0305	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION</p> <p>Regulation 3: Erection of new 2 storey classroom extension to existing Block A, comprising 6 no classrooms and associated ancillary spaces connected to existing school building, with surrounding landscaping works. Proposed single storey extension to existing Block B, comprised of 1no classroom connected to existing school building with associated surrounding landscaping works, relocating of 74 no existing cycle stands to south of current location with associated landscaping works. Trinity School, Love Lane, Shaw, Newbury. EDUCATION</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 01.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting sites listed by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks and Gardens. Shaw House is a Grade I listed building set within a Grade II Registered Park and Garden and Shaw Conservation Area The Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of historic sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations within Berkshire.</p> <p>One of the key activities of the Berkshire Gardens Trust (BGT) is to help conserve, protect and enhance designed landscapes within Berkshire. As Shaw House is on Historic England's Register of Historic Parks and Gardens, it is an important part of the history of Newbury's parks and the richness of Newbury's history. We are therefore grateful for the opportunity to comment on the application.</p> <p>BGT welcomes recognition of the historic context in the Design and Access Statement but notes that, apart from a reference in passing, there is no detailed assessment of the historic significance of the Park, and the role</p>

					<p>played by the site and its features in and around it. No Heritage Statement accompanies the application nor a landscape assessment of the landscape and visual value of the Park and its features. The Tree Survey is useful in identifying the value of the trees but does not include an assessment of the value of their contribution to the historic park or setting of the Grade I Shaw House.</p> <p>The application is accompanied by a Landscape Concept Plan embedded in the Design and Access Statement but this also does not include any assessment of the historic landscape aspects nor does the Design and Access Statement analyse how the proposals would conserve and enhance the historic value of the site and its setting.</p> <p>Consequently we feel that it is important that the application should be accompanied by a Heritage Statement which covers fully the various heritage aspects of the Park and the setting of Shaw House. The Heritage Statement should then be used to ensure that both the historic character and local distinctiveness of the Park are conserved and enhanced.</p> <p>We feel that this work is essential to ensure that the development is in compliance with NPPF paras 189 to 199 and West Berkshire Council's Core Strategy policy CS19.</p> <p>We note that both WBC's Conservation Officer and Archaeologist recommend consultation with The Gardens Trust on whose behalf Berkshire Gardens Trust are authorised to comment on applications. In the absence of any Historic Statement which describes the significance of the extant built and landscape features within the Park and the setting of Shaw House and includes an Impact Assessment of the effects of the development, it is not possible to ensure that the development will result in no harm to the historic significance of the local heritage.</p> <p>In conclusion, BGT hopes that the Council will request that the applicant considers in more depth the historic factors associated with the site and its setting in a heritage Statement and that the applicant employs a suitably qualified heritage consultant to carry out this work. Such a study would also inform any further proposals under consideration in the future.</p> <p>We request that BGT are further consulted when WBC are in receipt of the Heritage Statement in due course.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Bettina Kirkham DipTP BLD CMLI BGT Planning Advisor. cc: The Gardens Trust</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

Harleyford Manor	Buckinghamshire	E19/0332	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION and Listed Building Consent Householder application for erection of Garden Machinery Store, Garden Pavilion & terrace with retaining walls & access steps both to West of dwelling. Harleyford Manor, Harleyford, Marlow, Buckinghamshire.</p> <p>GARDEN BUILDING, MAINTENANCE/STORAGE/OUTBUILDING</p>	<p>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 12.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting the Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Buckinghamshire Gardens Trust and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>Harleyford (Grade I) was designed as a villa set in naturalistic grounds unencumbered by other structures or formal landscaping. The striking villa, of exceptional architectural quality, innovation and subsequent influence, was offset in its isolated position by a complex naturalistic setting of informal lawns and scattered trees in the style of Lancelot Brown, if not actually by him. This is the basis of the national significance of the designed landscape (Grade II). The main feature was the meandering Thames forming an Arcadian riverine setting echoing the Italian rivers such as the Arno and Tiber and emulating the watery settings so admired in the C17 works of Claude and Poussin. This is the most significant landscape phase and until recently it survived largely unaltered as a set piece within the wider English Landscape park (see HE Register description).</p> <p>The building was the focus of the landscape and was not designed to be seen in a formal setting or with formal relationships to other features. It prefigured and perhaps influenced the setting of other similarly fine mansions which were set in picturesque informal lawns with scattered trees, such as Claremont, Surrey and Bletchington, Oxfordshire, where service and garden buildings were placed at a distance and screened. As far as is known there were no axial buildings relating to house at Harleyford in the immediate environs and the views from the villa were of designed Arcadian landscaping not buildings.</p> <p>The recent formal terraces and gardens around the house already significantly damage the setting and views between the villa and its historic landscape. The Research - Conserve – Campaign erection of a pavilion in this prominent and axial position in relation to the house, however attractive, would compound this damage and is historically inappropriate. In our opinion, the supporting documentation including the Historic Impact Assessment (HIA) is inadequate, as it fails to address these points. It does not assess the historic character, layout, views (and survival of these) at Harleyford, or the effect that the proposed landscape scheme, particularly the garden pavilion and also the maintenance shed, would have on the</p>
------------------	-----------------	----------	----	--	--

					<p>historic fabric, design and significances. In order for your officers to determine this application, the information provided should cover this in detail, allowing an understanding of the key historic phases of the design and views, their survival and the degree of impact the proposals would have on them. It should also state whether the potential impacts are beneficial or adverse and identify any necessary mitigation solutions. At 13m across and 4m high the pavilion is a large-scale structure in a very sensitive area of the historic design, which in our opinion, should not contain any structures.</p> <p>Even without an HIA, it is clear that the pavilion proposal would significantly damage the intended views from the villa and introduce a major and inappropriate feature into the naturalistic landscape design, negatively affecting the historic character of both the villa and its Arcadian landscape. We urge that permission for any such structure is refused. The shed, with its 10m x 4m footprint and 3.5m height, would be a large scale, utilitarian structure within the most significant part of the ornamental landscape. While we understand that there may be a practical need for the shed, it is unclear whether there has been an options appraisal for the siting of it and the level of impact it will have on the historic environment, carried out using similar methodology. This would aim to ensure that the proposed site is the least damaging to the historic environment and fully mitigated visually. We strongly suggest that the shed be refused until it can be shown that a) it is essential and b) there is no less damaging position for it in the historic environment.</p> <p>In conclusion we object to both aspects of the application and urge that it be refused.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Thorndon Hall	Essex	E19/0476	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Install 2.5m high acoustic fence along the southern half of the boundary Adj A128 Brentwood Road and replace and re-position entrance gates and posts. Park House, 259 Brentwood Road, Herongate, Brentwood, Essex CM13 3LH. BOUNDARY, ACCESS/GATES</p>	<p>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 24.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Essex Gardens Trust and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application. We have looked at the on-line documentation and the acoustic report demonstrates that the current noise levels from the road are unacceptable</p>

					<p>and intrusive. The acoustic fence, although not very desirable, would not in our opinion, affect the listed building or the registered landscape, and the success of the gateway will depend on good detailing. We suggest that the gates would look better if the top half were open vertical rails and that your officers impose conditions covering the brickwork. The fence should be well screened by the planting once it matures.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Central Parks	Hampshire	E18/0680	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Demolition of existing buildings (Bargate Shopping Centre and multistorey car park, 77-101 Queensway, 25 East Street, 30-32 Hanover Buildings, 1-16 East Bargate and 1-4 High Street, excluding frontage) refurbishment of basements and mixed use development comprising 244 flats (102x one bedroom and 142x two bedroom) (use class C3), 152 units of student residential accommodation (353 bedrooms), retail use (class A1), flexible retail, office or food and drink use (Classes A1-A3), in new buildings ranging in height from 4-storey's to 12-storey's, with associated parking and servicing, landscaping and public realm (Environmental Impact Assessment Development affects a public right of way and the setting of the listed Town Walls) - Scheme amendments to planning permission 16/01303/FUL seeking changes to residential mix, design</p>	<p>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 17.07.2019 Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Hampshire Gardens Trust as well as SCAPPS. We have looked at the documents online, including the Planning Statement and letter from the Heritage Consultants which completely rejects the objections contained our two letters from 2018 outlining the impact of the above application on the Grade II* Central Parks. We must unfortunately repeat them : of course a 13 storey block immediately adjacent to the Parks has a visual impact. It will certainly be seen in longer views from within the Parks and will not be hidden by trees, even in summer and will rise above the prevailing tree line. We would have expected to see a professional CGI visual assessment of exactly where the proposed 13 storey block would be seen from within the parks.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>

				and additional height along Queensway. Bargate Shopping Centre and adjoining land In Queensway, East Street, Hanover Buildings and High Street, Southampton. MAJOR HYBRID	
Stanborough Park	Hertford shire	E19/0052	N	PLANNING APPLICATION Removal of existing children's playground and reinstatement of ground as parkland;Construction of new playground including splash pad with associated changing room facility;kiosk;fencing around compound;outdoor gym equipment;drainage,earthworks and landscaping. Park North Stanborough Park Stanborough Road AL8 6DF. PLAY AREA OUTCOME 18.07.2019 Approved	CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 14.07.2019 Thank you for inviting the HGT to speak at, or attend, the Development Management Committee meeting to consider this development for play facilities etc, at Stanborough Park North. We have nothing to add to our comments previously submitted and so will decline the invitation. Kind Regards Kate Harwood Conservation & Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust
Stansted Bury Briggens	Hertford shire	E19/0328	II II	PLANNING APPLICATION Outline planning with all matters reserved apart from external vehicular access for the redevelopment of the site through the demolition of existing buildings and erection of a residential led mixed use development comprising up to 8,500 residential homes including market and affordable homes; retirement homes and extra care facilities; a range of community uses including primary and secondary schools, health centres and nursery facilities; retail and related uses; leisure facilities; business and commercial uses; open space and public realm;	TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 02.07.2019 Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Hertfordshire Gardens Trust and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application. The proposed development site lies in area which contains the remains of the 3 Hunsdon medieval and Tudor Royal Parks, Pisho Park of similar date, and Gilston Park. It adjoins Stanstead Bury Park (HE Registered) and Briggens Park (HE Registered and on the HAR register), Sayes Park and Hunsdonbury Park and within the setting of Bonningtons Park. Detailed information on the parks in this area from medieval to Tudor times can be found in the publications of Anne Rowe. The significance of the Hunsdon parks is only know beginning to be discovered and the scheduling on the Hunsdon ponds as a relic of Henry VIII's great park is only the start. The setting of this scheduled heritage asset within sight of the church and the possible site of the former hunting lodge will be part of the Village 7 application but there may be other

			<p>sustainable urban drainage systems; utility and energy facilities and infrastructure; waste management facilities; vehicular bridge links; creation of new vehicular and pedestrian accesses into the site, and creation of a new vehicular, pedestrian and cycle network within the site; improvements to the existing highway and local road network; undergrounding and diversion of power lines; lighting; engineering works, infrastructure and associated facilities; together with temporary works or structures required by the development. Land North of the Stort Valley and the A414, Gilston, Hertfordshire. MAJOR HYBRID</p>	<p>significant relics of this park within the area of this application. Relics such as a park boundaries, ditches, woodbanks, boundary trees etc have not been plotted for the site and are not detailed in documents.</p> <p>We are disappointed in the Historic Environment Report which seems confined to Designated assets, although many Locally listed and other undesignated heritage assets are known to exist. Although mentioning significance a number of times the report does not clearly spell out the significance of any of the heritage assets nor their cumulative significance. Not only visual intrusion but noise and light pollution will have a harmful effect on all these assets. The emphasis on Gilston Park and Hunsdon Airfield, both relatively recent additions to this landscape and the dismissal of the remaining heritage assets as 'other' is to be deplored.</p> <p>The claims in this application to respect and 'conserve and enhance' the historic landscape, if based on the contents of the Historic Environment Report, will not conserve and enhance those very many heritage assets not considered, including those whose setting will be adversely affected. This is contrary to EHDC Policy HA8 which states; 'Development Proposals should protect the special historic character, appearance or setting of those sites on the Historic England 'Register of Historic Parks and Gardens'.' This development will clearly adversely affect the setting of two Registered parks.</p> <p>It is also contrary to the NPPF which sets out that :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. This has clearly not been done. • Sustainable development (NPPF 8c) contribute to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment. This proposal is contrary to this NPPF objective in that it is based on a partial assessment of this particular historic environment <p>The NPPF states that 'These [heritage] assets are an irreplaceable resource, and should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance, so they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of existing and future generations. As neither a complete assessment of all known heritage assets, nor of their individual and collective significance is included in these application documents, we consider that measures in this proposal for conserving heritage assets are based on incomplete evidence and thus do not fulfil the NPPF objective 8.c for sustainable development. Until a comprehensive heritage and impact assessment of all assets is</p>
--	--	--	---	---

					<p>carried out it will be impossible for the planning officers to adequately assess what damage this application might cause. This information should be supplied before the application goes to the planning committee.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Balls Park	Hertford shire	E19/0441	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Single storey rear extension/garden room. 20 Willis Grove, Balls Park, Hertford, Hertfordshire SG13 8FH. BUILDING APPLICATION</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 22.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. Balls Park is an important early 18th century landscape, registered at Grade II on the HE Register, and part of the setting for the Grade I listed Balls Park Mansion.</p> <p>Willis Grove is situated in the former walled gardens, whose listed walls, piers and finials form the western edges of this development. We are disappointed that no Heritage Statement has been supplied which acknowledges the impact the proposal would have on the landscape. We consider that the proposed extension does not respond sympathetically to the Registered landscape or the setting of the Listed walls.</p> <p>Kate Harwood Conservation & Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust</p>
Gosling Stadium, Welwyn	Hertford shire	E19/0463	N	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Certificate of lawfulness for class use D2 (Assembly and Leisure). Gosling Stadium, Stanborough Road. MISCELLANEOUS</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 14.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting the Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. We have no objection in principle to this application for a Certificate of lawfulness for class use D2. However, if further applications are submitted for changes to the buildings or landscape, we would be concerned if these affected the present streetscape of Stanborough Road.</p> <p>Kate Harwood</p>
26 Stonehills, Welwyn Garden City	Hertford shire	E19/0467	N	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Change of use for Conversion of first and second floors from (A1 Use)Retail to (C3 use) Residential and additional storey for 27 dwellings. 26 Stonehills, Welwyn Garden City AL8 6NA. CHANGE OF USE</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 11.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting Hertfordshire Gardens Trust, a member of the Gardens Trust.</p> <p>Welwyn Garden City has the best preserved Garden City centre in Britain with street scenes, views and frontages still largely intact, reflecting Raymond Unwin's town planning ideas and de Soissons' vision for the Garden City</p> <p>We have studied these plans , both with regard to the original design ideas and the changes within the vicinity over the decades since this building on Stonehills was built.</p>

					We have no objection to the proposed changes to the roof of the building which will alter the street scene, as these reflect changes to neighbouring buildings, nor to the change of use of the upper floors. Kate Harwood
3 Welwyn Hall Gardens, Welwyn	Hertford shire	E19/0491	N	PLANNING APPLICATION Fell 2 x Sycamore tree. Reduce 1 x Sycamore tree. 3 Welwyn Hall Gardens, Welwyn AL6 9LF. TREES	CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 19.07.2019 Thank you for consulting the Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. The application form refers to a report from the applicants arboriculturalist. This does not appear to be included in the application documents, merely 2 copies of the same unannotated photographs. We cannot therefore comment on the need for these 2 trees to be felled for the reasons given in the application form Kate Harwood
The Wood Barn, North Mymms Park	Hertford shire	E19/0503	N	PLANNING APPLICATION Extension and alterations to convert the building into a single dwelling with associated landscaping, hard surfacing and alterations to a section of the existing wall to create a new gated opening for pedestrian access. The Wood Barn, The Estate Yard, North Mymms Park, North Mymms, Hatfield AL9 7TR. RESIDENTIAL, CHANGE OF USE, BUILDING ALTERATION	CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 22.07.2019 Thank you for consulting the Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. On the basis of information contained in this application we have no objections. Kate Harwood
Gorhambury	Hertford shire	E19/0515	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Replacement of external doors and windows with new painted timber windows and doors. The Temple, Gorhambury, St Albans, Hertfordshire AL3 6An. MISCELLANEOUS to	CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 31.07.2019 Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. On the basis of information contained in this application and our knowledge of the historic landscape and its history at Gorhambury, we have no objections to the works proposed. Kate Harwood Conservation & Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust
Tewin Water	Hertford shire	E19/0518	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Demolition of entrance porch. External alterations to include removal and creation of windows and doors at ground and	CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 31.07.2019 Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. The Lodge lies within the Tewin Water landscape, Registered at Grade II on the Historic England Register. It is the most intact of the Humphry Repton landscapes in Hertfordshire, being designed in 1799, as evidenced in the

				widening of window at first floor. Removal of chimney. Insertion of flue. Erection of entrance porch and pergola to rear. Tewin Lodge, Churchfield Road, Tewin, Welwyn, Hertfordshire AL6 0JA. BUILDING ALTERATION	Tewin Water Red Book. The later Lodge lies at the point that Repton's eastern drive reached Churchfield Road. We have not seen a heritage statement within the planning documents, as required by the NPPF 189, on the effect these proposals on the registered landscape or its significance. Once this is supplied, we will be able to comment on the application Kate Harwood Conservation & Planning Hertfordshire Gardens Trust
20 Roundwood Drive, Welwyn Garden City	Hertfordshire	E19/0540	N	PLANNING APPLICATION Fell 1x Oak Tree. 20 Roundwood Drive, Welwyn Garden City AL8 7JZ. TREES	CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 30.07.2019 Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust, of which HGT is a member. This tree is a valuable part of the streetscape. We would suggest a suitable replacement tree be planted if permission is granted to fell the diseased oak. Kate Harwood
Riseholme Hall	Lincolnshire	E19/0417	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Planning application for reconfiguration and refurbishment of the existing dairy farm including demolition of cattle shed and erection of roundhouse, and extension and modification/extension of other farm structures and erection of welfare block. University Of Lincoln, Dairy Farm, Riseholme Park, Riseholme, Lincoln LN2 2LG. EDUCATION, AGRICULTURE	TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 17.07.2019 Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Lincolnshire Gardens Trust and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application. Our pre-application response for this work stated that in our opinion this particular application will not impinge on the main historic view of the park. However, should this be the first of other applications in the future, there is a danger of increasing encroachment which would then have a cumulative negative effect. We would like to highlight this concern to your officers. Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer
Skendleby Lodge	Lincolnshire	E19/0563	N	PLANNING APPLICATION Change of use of land for the siting of 12no. shepherds huts and 1no. reception hut, provision of a bin store, cycle store and erection of a fence and entrance gates to the maximum height of 1.5 metres.	CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 30.07.2019 This planning application has only recently been drawn to the attention of LGT. Trustees are surprised that LGT has not been officially consulted, considering that Rookery Holt is adjacent to a historic park at Skendleby Lodge, also known as Lodge Farm, which is of local historic significance as typical of a small, intact 19C park. Lincolnshire Gardens Trust (LGT), a conservation and education charity,

				<p>ROOKERY HOLT, DALBY ROAD, SKENDLEBY, SPILSBY, LINCOLNSHIRE PE23 4QG. HOLIDAY ACCOMMODATION</p>	<p>considers it necessary and appropriate to comment on this planning application. As a member of The Gardens Trust (GT, formerly the Garden History Society) LGT works closely with the national GT, the statutory consultee for all planning and development proposals affecting all sites on the Historic England Register of Parks and Gardens. LGT advises the TGT thanks to local knowledge and, on occasion, comments on their behalf. This proposal, supposedly inspired by sheep farming and wool industry, one of the Lincolnshire Wolds' historic industries, has however neglected to include any reference to the historic significance of the surrounding setting in the near vicinity of the site. The scheme sits on the top of the hill adjacent to a c.1820 parkland landscape. This Historic Park recorded on Lincolnshire's Heritage Environment Record was first shown on the early First Series OS Sheet 84 with serpentine drive and park planting. Lodge Farm (historically known as Skendleby Lodge) built about 1820 is HE Grade II, a broad, five-bay, stuccoed Regency house, the centre advanced and pedimented with a hipped slate roof with two stacks to the rear, set off lawn surrounded by a brick ha ha. The house sits in the landscape and was originally accessed from a drive sweeping through the parkland that ran from the old toll house (which appears to have originally been built as the gate house/lodge). The house, designed to take advantage of the surrounding park landscape, also had a walled garden, dovecote etc. The parkland is a good example, still intact, of an early 19th century landscape. Such parks were meant to be experienced in motion whereby drives wound through the extensive parkland, allowing the carriage-borne visitor to catch varied glimpses of local, natural topography, but also likely 'showing off' a nearby Neolithic long barrow, one of a pair known as Giants' Hills, as well as the house between the parkland clumps and plantations. English Heritage have written specific guidance on this period of landscape, describing this type of landscape as among England's most important contributions to European civilisation. These proposals are going to have a negative impact on the unspoilt, isolated and peaceful, historic landscape setting of Lodge Farm, views out from the park and nearby associated woodland holts. It is interesting to note that Pevsner describes Skendleby as 'a tranquil, shady village on the hillside amidst parkland'.¹ Whatever modish name given, 12 'Shepherd's Huts' or 'glamping pods', on the crest of the hill, even if set amongst intended landscaping of wild flower meadows and tree belts, are effectively going to form a caravan site in an inappropriate location, adjacent to and spoiling the views and</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					<p>ambience of a historic park adding character to this outstanding Lincolnshire Wolds area. LGT therefore objects to this planning application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Steffie Shields MBE, Chairman</p>
Chapelfield Gardens	Norfolk	E19/0368	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Creation of outdoor seating area and sheltered area with the construction of 2 No. retractable awnings mounted on freestanding brackets and 3 No. neon lights. Pedros Restaurant, Chapel Field, North Norwich NR2 1NY. CATERING</p>	<p>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 18.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Norfolk Gardens Trust (NGT) and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>We have looked at the online documentation and are in favour of the building being used again, especially as it will increase footfall in the park. We do however, have concerns that three neon signs, one on each elevation, are unsympathetic additions to what is the oldest of the public parks in Norwich, first laid out as a 'place for promenading' in 1746 and the only one within the Medieval city walls. We would prefer more discreet, non-illuminated signage. The awnings are not considered to be a problem as they do not require any footings to be dug. As littering is already a problem within the parks (we can supply a photograph if required) we would suggest that the restaurant owners take responsibility for making sure that litter bins in the vicinity are regularly emptied as the increased footfall will only exacerbate an existing problem.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Chapelfield Gardens	Norfolk	E19/0496	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Display of 3 No. internally illuminated neon lights. Pedros Restaurant, Chapel Field North, Norwich NR2 1NY. MISCELLANEOUS</p>	<p>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 23.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Norfolk Gardens Trust and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>Following on closely from the initial Pedro's application (19/00839/F) the GT/NGT would like to reiterate our opinion that neon signage within a registered historic park is inappropriate. The three neon signs, one on each</p>

					<p>elevation, are not only large (approx. 6' 6" long in two instances) but are prominent and unsympathetic additions to what is the oldest of the public parks in Norwich, first laid out as a 'place for promenading' in 1746 and the only one within the Medieval city walls. We would prefer more discreet, non-illuminated signage. We also appreciate that with financial constraints within your local authority, litter collection could also be problematic and would ask that should this application be permitted, you insert a condition that the proprietor(s) can be held responsible for keeping the area around the restaurant litter free and nearby bins emptied regularly.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Allerton Park	North Yorkshire	E18/1724	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION ACCOMPANIED BY AN ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT FOR variation of Condition No's 1, 2 & 20 of Planning Permission Ref. C6/500/63J/CMA for the continuation of waste disposal operations for a further 6 years from 31 December 2018 until 31 December 2024 with a further year for restoration, to amend the final restoration levels across the site and to amend the final restoration scheme for the southern part of the site. Allerton Park Landfill, Moor Lane (Off A168), Knaresborough HG5 0SD. MINERAL/WASTE</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 13.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for your e-mail of 4th July and attachment letter from Alistair Hoyle of 'axis' in response to our letter of 3rd April 2019. We have further liaised with The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, at grade II as per the above application. Our letter of 3rd April represented our views on the situation and its effect on the historic park and garden. We have nothing further to add.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
Allerton Park	North Yorkshire	E19/0274	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Outline planning application for comprehensive development of the site to provide a new settlement comprising: Buildings/floor space for up to 2,750 residential units (Use Class C3) inclusive of up to 12,077 sqm</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 02.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, at Grade II. The Gardens Trust has liaised with the Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) and YGT is responding on behalf of both Trusts. We would be grateful if you could please take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p>

				<p>of floorspace for a retirement village (Use Class C3 and/or C2); . Up to 2,000 sq.m of retail floor space (Use Class A1) ; Up to 1,700 sq.m of floorspace for financial and professional services, restaurants and cafes, drinking establishments, and hot food takeaways (Use Classes A2/A3/A4/A5); . Up to 5,200 sq.m of floorspace for non-residential institutions, including education (2 no. primary schools), nursery/crèche, health facilities (Use Class D1); . Up to 8,500 sq.m of leisure facilities and community building(s) (Use Class D2); . Up to 6,000 sq.m / 120 bedrooms hotel (Use Class C1); Up to 2,500 sq.m for offices (Use Class B1); . Open space / landscaping/outdoor sport/recreation facilities); . Car Park and railway halt at former Goldsborough Station; . Infrastructure (including roads and utilities); and Site preparation and associated works. Flaxby Golf Club, York Road, Flaxby, Knaresborough, North Yorkshire HG5 0RR. MAJOR HYBRID</p>	<p>The Site/ Heritage Assets Allerton Park is a nationally important Grade II Registered Historic Park And Garden [RPG] set within a strongly undulating landform and predominately rural and undeveloped agricultural landscape. Within its' boldly undulating landform and parkland trees, there are carefully located a complex of listed buildings that contribute greatly to the significance and character of the Park, including two that have been sited on the most elevated landforms in order to be both widely visible within and from the surrounding landscape and also to afford magnificent panoramic views out across the surrounding landscape. These are the Grade I listed Allerton Castle and the Temple of Victory (grade II*).</p> <p>Impact on Setting and Significance of Heritage Assets The proposed urban settlement to the west and south- west of Allerton Park would cause fundamental change to the character and setting of heritage assets of the registered Park and Garden as a whole and to two of the listed buildings in particular, namely Allerton Castle and the Temple of Victory and thus would cause harm to their significance</p> <p>In this respect, we would like to underline NPPF February 2019, paragraphs 193, 194 and the HE 'The Setting of Heritage Assets', Good Practice Advice in Planning Note 3.</p> <p>Conclusions We therefore wish to object to this application due to the considerable impact on the character and overall setting of Allerton Park as a Registered Historic Park and Garden and on that of its' numerous Listed Buildings, most notably Allerton Castle and the Temple of Victory.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Malcolm Barnett Trustee of Yorkshire Gardens Trust cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
Howsham Hall	North Yorkshire	E19/0383	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Extension of existing garden cottage pavilion to form private self contained retirement accommodation with live in care. The Great Barn Cottage, Village</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 12.07.2019 Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, at grade II as per the above application. The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the</p>

				Street, Howsham, Malton, North Yorkshire YO60 7PH. RESIDENTIAL	<p>protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on GT's behalf in respect of such consultations.</p> <p>The Great Barn Cottage; part of Howsham Hall's Home Farm assemblage of buildings, lies within the registered site and also within the Howardian Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Howsham Hall, (listed grade I) is probably an extension of an early house onto which a Jacobean stone front was added c.1610. The east façade was re-modelled and elaborate formal gardens laid out to the east c.1690/1700. Between 1775 and 1779, Lancelot 'Capability' Brown (1716-1783) was consulted and the grounds again re-modelled with the western side of the village including the green removed and the land absorbed into the Park, the whole forming a designed landscape which is an example of the English landscape style. Howsham Hall is adjacent to the lowland section of the River Derwent, a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and the Derwent is also a Special Area of Conservation (SAC).</p> <p>The area of this planning application is located to the east of Howsham Hall within the registered boundary but the proposals should have very little impact on the wider registered park and garden. We have no further comments to make and refer you to the advice of your authority's Conservation Officer.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
Rufford Abbey	Nottinghamshire	E19/0370	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Change of use from woodland to educational (forest school). Kennel Wood, Woodland Lodge, Rufford, Nottinghamshire. EDUCATION, TREES	<p>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 19.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We appreciate being given a short extension of time to comment on the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Nottinghamshire Gardens Trust and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>The proposed Forest School lies within the Grade II registered landscape of Rufford Abbey (RPG), within its former Deer Park. The application should therefore have been accompanied by a Heritage Impact Assessment, and we would also expect to have seen a proper assessment of how the proposal will preserve the RPG. The parking will not be screened as the landscape is flat and open and it in no way preserves the setting of the</p>

					<p>RPG. In our opinion, it is therefore detrimental to its significance. We would like to know whether the possibility of siting the parking to the south of Kennel Wood had been considered, as there is already a big warehouse there, and the parking would have less impact in this position. We also have concerns about the references to the felling of veteran trees, which we would not support unless they were in a dangerous condition and could not be made safe. The application site is reached by existing tracks and we did not see any reference within the documentation for either resurfacing or widening of this access route so we assume that the visual impact will not change for that particular feature far as access is concerned.</p> <p>Without the documentation mentioned above, we do not feel that the application should have been validated, and as such we OBJECT to the proposal, as without this information your officers cannot make an informed decision.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p> <p>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 29.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for forwarding the additional comments received from the applicant in support of the above consultation.</p> <p>We have now studied these and, on the basis of the information given, the Gardens Trust and Nottinghamshire Gardens Trust have no objection to the proposals.</p> <p>If you have any further queries, please contact us at this email address and we would be grateful to be advised of the outcome of the application in due course.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Alison Allighan Conservation Casework Manager</p>
Kenwood	South Yorkshire	E19/0493	N	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION</p> <p>Demolition of Banqueting Suite and outbuildings, erection of 36no residential apartments within 3 blocks (Blocks A, B and C) with associated parking, landscaping works and ancillary</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 18.07.2019</p> <p>The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee regarding proposed development affecting a site on Historic England's (HE) Register of Parks and Gardens (RPG). The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on their behalf in respect of such consultations. We are also</p>

				<p>works. Kenwood Hall Hotel, Kenwood Road, Sheffield S7 1NQ. DEMOLITION, RESIDENTIAL</p>	<p>asked to give advice on non-registered sites. Unfortunately, the Gardens Trust (GT) does not seem to have been consulted on this planning application which if approved would seriously damage Sheffield's largest surviving private example, (as opposed to a public park), of the work of the nationally acclaimed designer Robert Marnock (1800-89). Marnock is often said to be the greatest landscape designer of his lifetime and first became noted for a series of Sheffield Commissions; Weston Park, the General Cemetery and the Sheffield Botanic Gardens. Much of his work was in a 'semi-natural' style, incorporating areas of flower bedding, often quite formal, within the general outlines of the informal landscape park. Marnock was commissioned by George Wolstenholme, a successful cutlery manufacturer, to design the garden at Kenwood and also the layout of the surrounding residential development carried out by Wolstenholme. The garden has been reduced in size by housing development around the edges in the 1920's and 1930's, and by extensions to the hotel in 1975 and the early 1980's. However, the core of the garden survives and the views from the house are largely intact. Additionally, Kenwood is important as the centre of the Sharrow estate, one of the earliest villa suburbs in this country and where Marnock provided designs for individual gardens. Because of Kenwood's significance it was included in Sheffield City Council's UDP Policy Background Paper No 4 1997 and is a non-designated heritage asset. The site is also part of the Nether Edge Conservation Area. The GT and YGT have serious concerns about the negative impact of the proposed apartment blocks A, B and C and especially the area of the proposed apartment blocks B and C; part of Marnock's designed landscape which has never been developed apart from 20C garages/storage. Apartment blocks B and C sit much further forward of the principal elevation of Kenwood Hall and are likely to be visible from the Hall and the terrace and in our view their position and mass will not only have an impact on Kenwood Hall and its historically open area but also on the Nether Edge Conservation Area. We consider that the design and massing of all the apartment blocks is contrary to the nature of the Conservation Area and will have a substantial negative impact. Similarly, the loss of mature trees will cause harm to the character of what is a fine 'green' Conservation Area. The proposals would constitute further fragmentation of the historic designed landscape, after other parts, including the gatehouses, have</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					<p>already been sold off separately. As a result of these proposals it would be impossible to properly 'read' Kenwood as a designed landscape. The current layout retains the possibility to read the two approaches to the house; the terraces; the main lawn to the southwest; and the lake. From the documents it appears that there is limited understanding of the artistic and social interest of Kenwood and of its planting. The latter has been designed to create and block views and engender in the viewer, surprise, openness and seclusion as the landscape is experienced. Much of this would be lost if the current proposals are implemented.</p> <p>The current proposal for the stable block would also cause damage as it would require the removal of one of Marnock's artificial mounds and levelling of an area that is on a natural slope. The mound gives privacy to the entrance to the house by screening the working area, but revealing the tower of this block as an interesting feature above it. Being surrounded as intended by vegetation on three sides, and an archway, it creates a separate area and intimacy in front of the house. This will be lost if the proposals for conversion and extension of the stable block are implemented.</p> <p>We consider that this planning application is contrary to the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF Feb 2019) paragraphs 194, 196 and 197. And we also have concerns that this application does not address your authority's statutory duty of section 72(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of conservation areas.</p> <p>In conclusion the Gardens Trust and the Yorkshire Gardens Trust wishes to register their strong objection to this application and asks the Council to refuse planning permission and to seek a more sympathetic solution.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
Keele Hall	Stafford shire	E18/1484	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Development of wind turbines and energy storage facility. Land adjacent to Springpool Wood, South of Phase 3 and west of Newcastle Golf Club, Keele</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 15.07.2019 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Thank you for your re-consultations of 28 June. I am again replying on behalf of The Gardens Trust and Staffordshire Gardens and Parks Trust in accordance with working arrangement agreed between the two organisations.</p>

				<p>University, Keele. WIND</p>	<p>The position of the Trusts remains that it is inherently unacceptable to site developments of wind turbines and a solar array within a Registered Park and Garden. Registered Parks and Gardens are a finite and limited heritage resource: substantial harm such as would result from these developments to grade II registered parks or gardens should be exceptional. We have seen no convincing arguments to justify these proposals as “exceptional” or cause us to revise the objections set out in our original letters of 21 January 2019. We restate that opposition to the developments here. We have considered the additional information supplied since last writing and note the applicants’ contention that the 25 year lifespan of the developments will cause only short term impact to the heritage asset. We would point out that this “temporary” adverse effect is nonetheless a) harm, contrary to local and national planning policy; b) of a duration of a quarter of a century, a not inconsequential period, and c) not guaranteed to be time limited against renewal at the expiry of that period. We have considered the applicants’ further contention that no alternative site for the development is feasible but strongly disagree that meeting the internally derived constraints of the SEND project is sufficient case for causing harm to the heritage asset.</p> <p>We note the conclusion in your report to Planning Committee on 16 July that the harm is less than substantial but consider that this is based on a misconstruction of paragraph 193 of the NPPF which states clearly that great weight should be given to the conservation of heritage assets regardless of the degree of harm, a position borne out in various legal decisions from Barnwell [2014] EWCA Civ 137 onwards. We are extremely concerned and disappointed that your recommendation to Committee is to override the widely stated heritage objections to the schemes and to approve both applications. In the view of the Trusts the harm which would be caused by these two developments to the significance and appearance of Keele conservation area and RPG is such as to warrant refusal of the two applications.</p> <p>In the light of that recommendation the Trusts are concerned to note that no mention is made in the report of our suggestion that, if the Council was minded to grant permission, the developers be required by enforceable condition not only to undertake replanting of the adjacent wood pasture but also to fund a conservation plan for the wider park and a programme of management and enhancement works in the dingle immediately adjoining the application site. We request that you address that omission</p>
--	--	--	--	--------------------------------	---

					in your presentation to members at their meeting. Yours sincerely, Alan Taylor Chairman
Keele Hall	Stafford shire	E18/1485	II	PLANNING APPLICATION Development of solar array and energy storage facility. Land adjacent to Springpool Wood, South of Phase 3 and west of Newcastle Golf Club, Keele University, Keele. SOLAR	CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 15.07.2019 See response to E18/1484 above
Castle Bromwich Hall	West Midlands	E19/0281	II*	PLANNING APPLICATION Erect new community building, a secure garden store and community garden. Castle Bromwich Hall Garden Trust, Chester Road, Solihull B36 9BT. COMMUNITY, MAINTENANCE/STORAGE/OUTBUILDING	TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 03.07.2019 Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Warwickshire Gardens Trust and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application. We have read the documents on line that relate to proposed buildings which lie entirely within the Grade II* Registered gardens of Castle Bromwich Hall, a rare survival of formal gardens from c1680-1740. The two proposed structures are both large, 10 x 6m and 7.49 x 4.51m respectively. These are in addition to the numerous portacabins, shipping containers, a polytunnel, timber sheds etc currently used as site offices, loos, gardeners'/volunteers' mess rooms etc, all of which are in poor condition. The area available for the new structures is described as being shown on Drawing WG846-001. This does not seem to be available online as the only ones visible on-line are : 845-001, 846-002/003/004 & 005. The Castle Bromwich Gardens Trust are to be applauded for the work they have done to date restoring these important gardens. However, whilst the Gardens Trust (GT) sympathises with the pressing need for alternative facilities, there is no mention of immediate removal of the numerous sheds/portacabins etc which currently disfigure the setting of the church and various listed heritage assets. The community building does not include toilets (presumably as a cost saving measure), but it seems shortsighted to erect such a substantial building without such basic facilities, as this would mean a further application in due course for yet another toilet building. It is also suggested that an existing metal shed be

					<p>moved nearer the polytunnel.</p> <p>We similarly see no need nor justification for the creation of a new Community Garden space within the Grade II* Registered Park and Garden itself.</p> <p>We would instead suggest that the Castle Bromwich Gardens Trust rethinks this application and incorporates all the various requirements into two buildings, carefully sited and screened, which enables them to completely remove the numerous unsightly smaller structures currently dotted around.</p> <p>We wonder whether the Trust has considered approaching the Church to see whether they might be able to share/improve the existing church hall and therefore avoid having to have more than just one storage building? The GT/WGT objects to this application in its current form as it increases the negative effect of unsightly buildings on numerous listed heritage assets, as well as creating an unnecessary new garden within the curtilage of the existing Grade II* Registered Park and Garden.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Goodwood House	West Sussex	E19/0450	I	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION</p> <p>Refurbishment and extension of the Goodwood Hotel and ancillary leisure buildings within newly landscaped grounds, with revised car parking and access arrangements. The Goodwood Hotel, Hat Hill Road, Goodwood, Chichester PO18 0QB. HYBRID</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 09.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for your letter of 10th June addressed to the Garden History Society (GHS). Kindly note the GHS is now known as The Gardens Trust (GT) and is the statutory consultee on matters concerning registered parks and gardens. The GT is now working closely with County Garden Trusts such as SGT regarding commenting on planning policy and planning applications and Sussex Gardens Trust (SGT) has been advised of this application and our comments are shown below - I apologise for the late submission. Representatives of SGT have not visited the site since the making of this application and the views expressed below are based upon the information supplied and some local knowledge. This proposal is a major reconstruction of the Goodwood Park Hotel within the former walled garden of Goodwood House, a Park which is included with a Grade I designation on the register of Historic Parks and Gardens maintained by Historic England. The present hotel of relatively recent development (over the last thirty-four years) and lies behind the former Richmond Arms public house building, a listed building. It has utilised the greater part of the northern sector of the former walled garden. It is not conspicuous from the surroundings outside of the garden but the internal layout of the garden</p>

					<p>has been totally lost in the more recent buildings, revised landscape and car parking. Some original buildings from the garden days survive in the north-west of the site seemingly little used while the former stables building and the Richmond Arms buildings have been very extensively altered internally with, effectively, only facades remaining.</p> <p>The proposal is to almost totally reconstruct the hotel with a far more intensive development. The affected area includes all of the current buildings footprint and extends further into the northwestern sector. The whole is a far bulkier development in height and plan with additional service buildings and a biomass plant. Only the southern part of the former garden remains open but is almost totally used for car parking.</p> <p>Insofar as these developments now and in future directly affect the registered parkland of Goodwood it is limited. The high walls of the walled garden and surrounding tree belts are effective screens. Whether the bulkier and higher structures, chimneys etc. will be visible is difficult to judge. Should the walled garden still have existed as it was fifty years ago the current proposal would be considered highly detrimental to the character of the area enclosed in the walled garden. However, the intervening developments have had a material effect on this site such that apart from the walls and a smaller number of buildings little of the original layout remains and none of the cultivated plots, trees or horticultural buildings.</p> <p>The site has been so completely affected by more recent development and the issue is whether the impact of the new development has a material effect on the surrounding registered park. Before determining the application, the Planning Authority should assess whether the development would result in any harm to the Grade I Registered Park, even if less than substantial harm, and balance this against other benefits.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Jim Stockwell On behalf of the Sussex Gardens Trust. CC: The Gardens Trust</p>
Blackdown Park	West Sussex	E19/0471	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of Single Storey Pool House, Blackdown House, Fernden Lane, Lurgashall GU27 3BT. SPORT/LEISURE</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 13.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for notifying the Sussex Gardens Trust (SGT) of the above planning application. The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee on matters concerning registered parks and gardens, and is now working closely with County Garden Trusts such as SGT regarding commenting on planning policy and planning applications.</p>

					<p>The site lies within Blackdown Park which is included with a Grade II designation on the register of Historic Parks and Gardens maintained by Historic England; as such the applicant is required to describe the significance of Blackdown Park (NPPF, para 128) and assess whether the proposals will result in harm to that significance. Representatives of SGT have not visited the site since the making of this application and the views expressed below are based upon the information supplied and some local knowledge.</p> <p>The Trust finds the proposed new structures are more sympathetic to the historic landscape than the existing structures and therefore supports the application.</p> <p>Yours faithfully Jim Stockwell On behalf of the Sussex Gardens Trust. CC: The Gardens Trust</p>
Roundhay Park	West Yorkshire	E18/0389	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Installation of a roof skylight to Clubhouse; construction of viewing deck and associated landscaping. North Leeds Cricket Club, Old Park Road, Gledhow. BUILDING ALTERATION</p>	<p>CGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 17.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust. The Gardens Trust (GT) is the statutory consultee regarding proposed development affecting a site on Historic England's (HE) Register of Parks and Gardens (RPG). The Yorkshire Gardens Trust (YGT) is a member organisation of the GT and works in partnership with it in respect of the protection and conservation of registered sites, and is authorised by the GT to respond on their behalf in respect of such consultations.</p> <p>The c.200 ha Roundhay Park, registered grade II, is an early 19th century parkland with lakes, sham castle and a canal and with later municipal gardens and park structures, many of which are listed. It has been in the ownership of Leeds City Council since the 1870's with the principal building, a neo-classical house called the Mansion converted to a hotel. A large area of Roundhay Park to the south, and within the registered site, is given over to sports grounds, and the North Leeds Cricket Club occupies a small section on the western boundary alongside Old Park Road. The large open grassed area east of the cricket pitch is called the Military Field or Soldiers Field because military tattoos were held there during the first part of the twentieth century raising funds for local charities.</p> <p>We would have expected that the documents submitted with this application would have mentioned the RPG but we have been unable to find any mention of this designation. We have visited the site and in general have no concerns. The proposed skylight is on the south western</p>

					<p>elevation of the existing pavilion away from the park. As the viewing platform on top of the two existing shipping containers and next to the scoreboard, is at the eastern end of the cricket ground and away from Old Park Road, they should be screened by trees from the main park area. Therefore, we consider that the platform will only cause slight additional intrusion into the park. However, we do think that the application glosses over the view from the park: the rear of the containers is rather untidy and unedifying but we trust that this will be rectified as part of the landscaping works and visual improvements made as part of the scheme. In our view the proposed development should have little impact on the significance of Roundhay Park and the GT/YGT have no objection to this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Val Hepworth Trustee and Chairman Conservation and Planning Cc. Neil Redfern, Historic England; Margie Hoffnung, the Gardens Trust</p>
Marlborough College	Wiltshire	E19/0416	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Erection of new two storey drama costume and props store, including removal of trees within conservation area. Marlborough College, Bath Road, Marlborough SN8 1PA. EDUCATION</p>	<p>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 19.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, as per the above application. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Wiltshire Gardens Trust and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>We have studied the documentation online and feel it would have been helpful to have had a Heritage Statement outlining what effect the proposals might have on the registered park. From the rather confusing drawings supplied with the application, it would appear that the new building will not affect the historic landscape around the mound, although it will affect the view from the river. We would suggest that if your officers decide to approve this application that the College replaces the trees to be felled with new specimens.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
Lydiard Park	Wiltshire	E19/0442	II	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Outline permission for up to 9 dwellings together with parking and amenity space (All matters</p>	<p>TGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 17.07.2019</p> <p>The Gardens Trust (GT) in its role as Statutory Consultee with regard to proposed development affecting a site included by Historic England (HE) on their Register of Parks & Gardens, appreciates that you have allowed us a</p>

				<p>reserved). Land South of Hook Street, Lydiard Tregoze, Wilts. RESIDENTIAL</p>	<p>short extension to comment on the above application, as we were not consulted by your officers and only heard of this through the Friends of Lydiard Park. We have liaised with our colleagues in the Wiltshire Gardens Trust and would be grateful if you could take our comments into consideration when deciding this application.</p> <p>Lydiard Park (Grade II), Lydiard House (Grade I) and St Mary's Church (Grade I) are irreplaceable heritage assets of national importance. In order to protect these in 2004 Nicholas Pearson Associates drew up a defined Essential Setting boundary around the registered Country Park, which goes beyond the Historic England registered park boundary (RPG). The Restoration Plan, which was adopted by Swindon Borough Council states : 'The proposed minimum essential setting of the registered landscape is plotted on figure 20 (see attached) but this makes assumptions about a rural context for the park. The definition of the setting is justified solely in terms of visual character and the designed historic views at ground level, and does not encompass areas where high level intrusive development could impact on the park. The extent of the setting should be extended if it is proposed to alter the urban area boundary.' In terms of the current application, the essential setting is the 'minimum essential setting' It is clear from this that all future development should not be allowed within the Essential Setting of Lydiard Park. The Planning Inspectorate acknowledged this in 2012 when the development of Hook Street was only permitted when it had been moved out of the defined critical setting. Nicholas Pearson Associates even used the view of the old folly site on Windmill Hill as the cover of their Restoration Plan, and it is this exact view from the RPG which is now proposed for development. If approved, this development would open up all of Park Farm land south of Hook Street, which is owned by the developer, for housing. This is the crux of the GT/WGT's objection.</p> <p>The GT/WGT disagree with the statement in the Landscape and Visual Technical Note by edp : (2.7) 'In terms of high-level constraint, the site does not lie within any landscape designation at a national or local level, nor is it within any designated heritage ... feature. In this regard the site is broadly unconstrained at a high level.' This totally ignores the Essential Setting acknowledged by the Planning Inspectorate mentioned above. This document however, does acknowledge that (3.31) 'The sensitivities of users of this Park (Lydiard) is high.' Plan 2 Environmental Designation (p.26) shows the RPG clearly to be a visual receptor.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>The Planning Statement 3.2 'seeks to establish the principle of development at this site' and acknowledges that the topography is flat, so the view to Windmill Hill is clearly compromised by the development. In Para 4.5 they state that the site 'abuts residential development at Swindon ... which is a town very able to support additional housing sustainably. It is worth considering that a residential development of this type would go some way to address persistent under delivery of housing at this area.' This would appear to contradict figures given in the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocation Plan, submission draft July 2018. This document (4.32) states that the aggregated housing trajectory 'supply exceeds the 5 year requirement' and that Royal Wotton Bassett indicative requirement is currently 8% above what is needed and that (4.47) the 'rates of development at Royal Wotton Bassett anticipated levels of growth have been exceeded over the 1st half of the Plan Period' (ie 2006-26). The GT/WGT strongly OBJECT to this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Margie Hoffnung Conservation Officer</p>
WALES					
Soughton Hall	Clwyd	W19/0010	II*	<p>PLANNING APPLICATION Proposed First Floor Extension, Above existing ground floor utility & Wc to create master bedroom suite. Quarry Farm, Rhosesmor Road, Northop, Mold CH7 6AF.</p>	<p>WHGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 18.07.2019</p> <p>Thank you for consulting the Welsh Historic Gardens Trust on the above application.</p> <p>The application site is situated to the NE of Soughton Hall, which is Grade II* on the Register of Historic Parks and Gardens and lies within the essential setting of the Hall. It is also to the SE of Lower Soughton which is Grade II on the Register.</p> <p>The landscape of the Northop Country Park has been considerably degraded in recent years since the offices, public house, golf club facilities and housing estate give the appearance of having been being dropped rather randomly onto a golf course. The outline proposal for lodges has the appearance of a small suburban housing estate which if permitted would add further to the uncoordinated appearance of the park. The tree report forming part of the application refers to the veteran oak trees within the site which are a likely to be remnants of the original park and garden and gives proposed root protection methods. Roots of veteran trees have been shown to extend well beyond the canopy of the branches however the draft/concept layout plans show the road cutting through the rooting area right under the canopies of the trees. Trees along the water course and the</p>

					<p>groups of oak trees are features which should be preserved. The Welsh Historic Garden Trust objects to this proposed development which is currently within the essential setting of Soughton Hall. Regards, Prue Probert Welsh Historic Gardens Trust, Clwyd Branch</p>
Vaynol	Gwynedd	W19/0013	I	<p>PRE-APPLICATION Relocation of Coleg Mena's Bangor Campus to Tŷ Menai and Llwyn Brain, Parc Menai.</p>	<p>WHGT WRITTEN RESPONSE 05.07.2019 We have reservations concerning any encroachments into the Faenol Estate but in this case the reorganisation of access seems logical in regards to the change of use and will have minimal impact. We will however object strongly to any further development into the Faenol Estate. Respectfully yours Joanna Davidson Vice Chair Welsh Historic Gardens Trust and Planning Representative of the Gwynedd Branch</p>